Global Health Master's Talent Cultivation Models of World-Class Universities and Implications: A Comparative Analysis of Five U.S. Institutions

Yongxiu Wang
School of International Education, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China
DOI: 10.32629/jher.v4i4.1476

Abstract: Global health aims to improve the health levels of all humanity. Chinese universities, guided by national development strategies, are endeavoring to cultivate highly qualified global health professionals with international perspectives and interdisciplinary knowledge. This paper conducts a comparative analysis of the global health master's talent cultivation models at world-class universities such as Johns Hopkins University, Harvard University, University of Washington, Yale University, and Columbia University. It analyzes their talent cultivation objectives, research directions, curriculum structures, faculty and teaching resources, as well as industry connections. The findings provide insights for Chinese universities in cultivating global health master's talents: defining clear objectives, optimizing curriculum design, enhancing faculty expertise, strengthening international and industry collaborations, and focusing on lifelong learning and post-graduate career prospects.
***Keywords*:** master of global health, talent cultivation models, world-class universities, comparative analysis

1. Introduction

Global health, a burgeoning interdisciplinary field combining research and practice, is dedicated to improving the health levels of all humanity, aiming for equitable health for everyone worldwide. The cultivation of global health talents holds strategic significance and contemporary value in political, diplomatic, and ideological aspects. It is also an intrinsic theme for the comprehensive deepening of higher education reform, international development, and the construction of a global human health community. Cultivating high-quality talents in the field of global health is of great importance for China. However, while the United States has developed a mature model for training global health master's talents with significant influence on global health policy formulation, Chinese universities are still in the initial stages of global health talent cultivation. This paper aims to analyze the current status of global health master's talent cultivation at five world-class universities in the United States, focusing on objectives, research directions, curriculum structures, faculty and teaching resources, and industry connections. By summarizing the common features and unique advantages of their talent cultivation models, the goal is to provide a reference for the subsequent international talent cultivation in global health at Chinese universities.

2. Global Health Master's Talent Cultivation Models at Five Top U.S. Universities

Top U.S. universities such as Johns Hopkins University, Harvard University, the University of Washington, Yale University, and Columbia University have established Master of Global Health programs. They have developed distinctive features in talent cultivation objectives, research directions and curriculum structures, faculty and teaching resources, as well as industry connections, earning them influence and unique advantages on a global scale.

2.1 Talent Cultivation Objectives

There are slight variations in the vision descriptions of Master of Global Health programs among the five universities (see Table 1). In terms of talent cultivation objectives, four universities emphasize an international perspective, three universities stress practical skills, and two universities mention leadership. Johns Hopkins University highlights interdisciplinary knowledge, while Harvard University mentions innovation. Three universities aim to cultivate leaders, while two universities aim to train experts.

Table 1. Summary of Master of Global Health Program Visions at Five Top U.S. Universities

University Name	Master of Global Health Program Vision
Johns Hopkins University	Aims to cultivate health leaders with an international perspective and interdisciplinary knowledge.
Harvard University	Aims to cultivate global health experts with leadership, innovation, and practical skills.
University of Washington	Aims to cultivate global health leaders with an international perspective and practical experience.
Yale University	Aims to cultivate global health experts with an international perspective and leadership.
Columbia University	Aims to cultivate global health leaders with an international perspective and practical experience.

Regarding the capabilities that graduates should possess, the five universities express similar expectations (see Table 2): Graduates should have a command of core knowledge and skills in global health, the ability to analyze global health issues, an understanding of international health policies, regulations, and ethics, proficiency in cross-cultural communication and teamwork, leadership in international collaborative projects, project planning, and management skills, and innovative research capabilities. These competencies are intended to enable graduates to play a crucial role in the field of global health and contribute to improving global health conditions.

Table 2. Summary of Capability Requirements for Global Health Master's Graduates at Five Top U.S. Universities

Capability Descriptions	Johns Hopkins University	Harvard University	University of Washington	Yale University	Columbia University
Master core knowledge and skills in the global health field	√	√	/	√	/
Understand international health policies, regulations, and ethics	√	√	√	√	√
Apply advanced technology and methods to address global health issues	√	/	√	/	√
Engage in cross-cultural communication, lead international collaborative projects	√	√	√	√	√
Possess project planning, implementation, and evaluation capabilities	√	√	√	√	√
Have research and innovation capabilities	√	√	√	√	√
Analyze global health issues and propose solutions	/	√	√	√	√

2.2 Research Directions and Curriculum Systems

The Master of Global Health programs at the five universities emphasize various research directions, encouraging students to delve into specific areas or diseases based on their interests. Although there are both substantive differences and similarities among the research directions of these universities, this section combines similar directions in expression. However, specific and independently established research directions that distinguish each university are listed in Table 3. For instance, while all schools have a focus on public health practice, the University of Washington uniquely presents it as an independent research direction to highlight its distinctive advantage in practical public health. This direction aims to cultivate public health professionals with practical skills in health monitoring, epidemiological investigation, and health intervention. Similarly, Yale University offers courses in infectious and non-infectious diseases but features epidemiology as a separate direction, with a curriculum structure leaning heavily toward epidemiology and no distinct focus on infectious and non-infectious diseases.

Table 3. Summary of Optional Research Directions in Master of Global Health Programs at Five U.S. Universities

University Name	Johns Hopkins University	Harvard University	University of Washington	Yale University	Columbia University
Infectious and Non-Infectious Diseases	√	√	√	/	√
Epidemiology	/	√	/	√	√
Maternal and Child Health	√	√	√	√	√
Nutrition and Food Safety	√	√	√	/	/
Health Systems and Policies	√	√	/	/	√

University Name	Johns Hopkins University	Harvard University	University of Washington	Yale University	Columbia University
Environmental and Occupational Health	√	√	√	/	√
Nutrition and Health	√	√	/	/	√
Health Data and Information Technology	√	/	√	/	/
Global Health Ethics and Law	√	/	/	/	/
Public Health and Global Health	√	√	√	√	/
Public Health Education and Communication	√	/	√	/	√
Health Economics	/	√	/	/	/
Health Education and Promotion/Social and Behavioral Health	/	√	/	√	/
Health/Health Policy and Management	/	/	√	√	/
Health Equity	/	/	√	/	/
Data Science and Health	/	/	√	/	/
Public Health Practice	/	/	√	/	/
Global Health Ethics	/	/	/	/	√
Public Health and Climate Change	/	/	/	/	√

In terms of curriculum, the five universities have distinctive structures based on their respective research directions. Each university requires core courses, specialized courses, elective courses, practical courses, and the completion of an academic thesis. For instance, Johns Hopkins University's Master of Global Health program covers various domains, including public health, global health policy, health management, health economics, and health statistics, organized into five aspects:

(1) Core Courses: Including Global Health Introduction, Health Policy and Health Management, Health Statistics, Epidemiology, Environmental and Public Health, Nutrition, and Health. (2) Specialized Courses: Including Health Economics, Public Health Ethics, Disaster Management and Public Health, Project Management and Evaluation, Health Education and Promotion.(3) Elective Courses: Including Vaccines and Immunization, Maternal and Child Health, Non-communicable Diseases, Public Health and Climate Change, Urban and Public Health.(4) Practical Courses: Including on-site inspections of global health projects, simulation exercises, and internship opportunities provided for students in the industry. (5) Academic Research and Thesis: Students are required to complete a master's thesis on global health, demonstrating their comprehensive abilities in both academia and practice.

At Harvard University, the curriculum system for the Master of Global Health program is designed with the following key components:

(1) Core Courses: Primarily encompassing fundamental public health courses such as Epidemiology, Bio-statistics, Environmental Health, Nutrition, and Environmental and Public Health. It also includes courses in Health Policy and Management, covering topics like Health Systems and Policies, Health Services Management, and Health Policy Analysis. Additionally, there are courses in Public Health Practice, which involve practical components like Community Health Projects and Global Health Initiatives. (2) Specialized Courses: Including courses focused on Infectious Diseases and Immunization, Non-communicable Diseases, Reproductive Health and Family Planning, Environmental and Public Health, and Health Systems and Policies. (3) Elective Courses: Offering a variety of options such as Medical Ethics and Law, Health Economics, Public Health and Climate Change, and Public Health and Media Communication. (4) Practice and Research Projects: Students are required to complete practical projects, such as domestic and international public health initiatives or research projects within the university's School of Public Health. (5) Thesis Writing: Students must finalize a master's thesis on the topic of global health, showcasing their understanding and capabilities in the field.

It can be observed that, in terms of courses, the curriculum systems of these five universities in the United States all include five key components: core courses, specialized courses, elective courses, practical learning, and thesis requirements. The general requirements are quite similar. Due to space limitations, specific course details for the other three universities are not elaborated. Nevertheless, despite commonalities, each of the five universities has its emphasis and characteristics. Johns Hopkins University places more emphasis on the public health aspect, while Harvard University leans towards health management. However, the rich variety of elective courses gradually mitigates these distinctions. The curriculum system of the Master of Global Health program at the University of Washington tends to focus on methodological courses, including

research methods, data collection and analysis, and project management and evaluation, aiming to cultivate students' ability to conduct global health-related research. Yale University places relatively more emphasis on courses related to population and development, leadership, and health technology and innovation. Columbia University incorporates the impact of mental health and non-governmental organizations in global health into its curriculum system. In general, the specialized course systems of each university are comprehensive and rigorous, covering professional knowledge, research methods, and practical skills in the field of global health. The programs emphasize the integration of theory and practice, providing students with a comprehensive and in-depth education in the field of global health.

2.3 Faculty and Teaching Resources

The Master of Global Health programs at these five U.S. universities share several similarities in terms of faculty and teaching resources: Outstanding Faculty: All these universities boast top-tier faculty members with rich academic backgrounds and practical experience. Many professors have extensive industry exposure, and their research contributions in the field of global health are internationally renowned. They frequently play crucial roles in the formulation of global health policies. Interdisciplinary Emphasis: The institutions prioritize interdisciplinary teaching and research, encouraging collaboration and communication among faculty and students. Students in these programs have the opportunity to take elective courses in other relevant fields such as public health, medicine, sociology, economics, and political science, broadening their knowledge base. Internship and Practical Opportunities: Each program offers internships and practical projects to help students apply their acquired knowledge to real-world scenarios. These experiences allow students to gain firsthand insights into the health challenges faced by different countries and regions. Internship projects span various sectors, including government agencies, international organizations, non-profits, and healthcare institutions. Leadership and Innovation Focus: There is a shared emphasis on cultivating leadership and innovation skills in students to address the increasingly complex challenges in the global health domain. Course curricula include components such as leadership training, project management, and policy analysis to equip students with advanced management capabilities in the field of global health. Rich Learning Resources: All these universities possess extensive library resources, online databases, and state-of-the-art research facilities within the field. They offer lifelong learning support, including continuing education, career development guidance, and alumni resources. This aids students in continuously expanding their perspectives in the global health sector, enhancing their capabilities, and building a closely-knit collaborative network.

2.4 Industry Resources

The Master of Global Health programs at these five world-renowned universities in the United States maintain close ties with international organizations and institutions. All five institutions collaborate closely with the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the United States. In addition, the Master of Global Health program at Johns Hopkins University maintains close collaborations with international organizations such as the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF), and the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI). Harvard University's Master of Global Health program has strong connections with various international organizations and research institutions, including the World Bank and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). The Master of Global Health program at the University of Washington collaborates closely with organizations such as the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI). The programs at Yale University and Columbia University in Global Health maintain close ties with international organizations like the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Furthermore, these universities collaborate with non-governmental organizations, research centers, health departments, and multinational corporations worldwide. This collaborative effort aims to advance research and practical activities in the field of global health, fostering innovation, policy development, and the translation of research findings in the global health domain.

In summary, the talent development models for Master of Global Health programs at these five world-class universities in the United States emphasize interdisciplinary collaboration, international perspectives, practical orientation, leadership development, innovation capabilities, and lifelong learning. They have faculty teams with diverse backgrounds in disciplines such as public health, medicine, sociology, economics, and political science. The programs have rich research directions and curriculum structures, particularly addressing real-world global health issues with a focus on field projects. The programs also demonstrate strong international partnerships and networks. They encourage students to consider issues of fairness and accessibility in the global health arena, fostering leadership and collaborative skills to lead in the global health domain.

3. Insights for the Development of Master of Global Health Programs from Top Universities Worldwide for China

3.1 Issues and Insufficiencies in the Master of Global Health Programs in Chinese Universities

In comparison, the Master of Global Health programs at the five universities in the United States are relatively mature. Firstly, they have clear training paths and objectives. While sharing a similar foundation in research directions and curriculum structures, each university also has distinctive features. For example, Johns Hopkins University's Master of Global Health program aims to cultivate professionals with knowledge and practical skills in public health to address global public health challenges. Harvard University's Master of Global Health program focuses on developing professionals with leadership, innovation, and international perspectives to drive transformation in the global health field. Columbia University's Master of Global Health program emphasizes the development of students' policy analysis and project management skills to address the high complexity and uncertainty in the global health field. Yale University's Master of Global Health program concentrates on cultivating students' research capabilities and innovative spirit to advance technological innovation and sustainable development in the global health sector. In contrast, the Master of Global Health education system in China is still in the process of improvement, with significant differences in training programs among universities and a lack of unified benchmark architectural requirements. Secondly, while U.S. universities possess rich faculty resources with international and interdisciplinary backgrounds, Chinese universities, although continuously enhancing faculty levels, still face a certain gap compared to top international universities. Thirdly, U.S. universities engage in extensive collaborations with renowned global universities and institutions, providing students with more international exchange and practical opportunities. Although Chinese universities are also strengthening international cooperation, there is still room for improvement in terms of the scope and depth of collaboration compared to their U.S. counterparts. Lastly, graduates from U.S. universities' Master of Global Health programs have higher competitiveness in the international job market. While the number of Chinese Master of Global Health graduates is gradually gaining attention domestically, their competitiveness in the international job market requires further enhancement.

3.2 Optimization Directions for Master of Global Health Talent Development Models in Chinese Universities

Through a comparative analysis of the Master of Global Health talent development models at five top universities in the United States, we can draw the following insights:

Define Training Objectives: Chinese universities should clarify the objectives of their Master of Global Health programs. Establishing unified training standards is crucial, emphasizing international perspectives and interdisciplinary knowledge integration. Each university should leverage its strengths to develop unique features within the standardized framework. The training goals should extend beyond subject knowledge mastery and application, aiming to cultivate future experts and leaders in the field, fostering a grand vision that influences global health policies.

Optimize Curriculum Design: Expand the curriculum system further to enhance its coverage. Improvements should not only focus on upgrading the quality and efficiency of public health and health management courses but also emphasize the comprehensiveness and practicality of the curriculum. Prioritize the cultivation of students' interdisciplinary literacy and innovation capabilities. Emphasize practical skills and innovative thinking through case studies, simulations, and field investigations, allowing students to master global health knowledge and skills through practical application. Pay attention to emerging research directions and courses, maintaining an awareness of international cutting-edge developments in the field.

Enhance Faculty Competence: Diversify the disciplinary background of the faculty by attracting more teachers with interdisciplinary backgrounds in sociology, economics, political science, etc. Strengthen faculty construction by attracting and cultivating internationally influential experts and scholars, elevating the internationalization level of the teaching staff.Select outstanding young teachers to pursue advanced studies at internationally renowned universities and research institutions, cultivating health professionals with an international perspective. Assemble a diverse faculty team composed of seasoned scholars, practical experts, and policy-makers to provide students with extensive academic and career guidance. Encourage teachers to participate in international health research projects, enhancing research capabilities and the ability to translate research outcomes into practical applications.

Strengthening International and Industry Cooperation. Establishing a multi-level cooperation mechanism and integrating it into the "Health Silk Road" initiative, leveraging platforms such as the World Health Organization, G20, BRICS nations, etc., is essential. Strengthen cooperation in the health sector with countries along the "Belt and Road" initiative, intensify policy dialogues, and share information. Enhance research collaboration in disease prevention and control, vaccine

development, pharmaceutical research, etc. Facilitate talent exchange and collaboration in healthcare, medical education, and technological innovation. Expand international collaboration channels, initiate projects with international organizations and government departments, provide opportunities for student international exchanges and practical experiences. Focus on global health hot issues and challenges, guiding faculty and students to actively participate in formulating and implementing global health policies.

Emphasizing Lifelong Learning and Career Prospects for Graduates. Encourage graduates to adopt a lifelong learning mindset and join the university's alumni network. This not only enhances the university's cohesion but also objectively establishes a broader industry cooperation network. Actively engage with alumni, establish an alumni resource database, help graduates expand their professional networks, and enhance their employ-ability. Additionally, assists students in forming correct career perspectives, provides career planning guidance, and keeps them informed about the development trends and employment directions in the global health sector.

4. Conclusion

In summary, against the backdrop of increasingly severe global health challenges, Chinese universities should draw inspiration from the global health talent development models of world-class universities. Grounded in national development strategies, efforts should be made to cultivate a group of high-quality global health professionals contributing to China and the global health cause.

References

[1] Dai Z W, Yang Yue, Fu Jiaqi, et al. Progress and Enlightenment of Group Medicine and Global Health Research[J]. Chinese Journal of Disease Control and Prevention, 2023, 27(11): 1336-1341.

[2] Guo D. The Value, Demand, and Practical Strategies of Internationalization of Higher Education in the Process of Modernization[J]. Education Theory and Practice, 2020, 40(36): 7-9.

[3] Xi J P. Xi Jinping Talks About Governance and Administration Volume 2[M]. Beijing: Foreign Language Press, 2017: 373.