



The Impact of Deepfake on Government Transparency from a Policy Perspective

Xinzhi Wang

Meiji University, Tokyo, Japan

Abstract: With the rapid development of artificial intelligence technologies, deepfake technology, a highly realistic audio and video manipulation tool, is gradually infiltrating various sectors of society, including the government sector. Government transparency is a crucial prerequisite for ensuring citizens' rights to information, participation, and supervision. It helps enhance government credibility and effectiveness, promoting the modernization of governance. The emergence of deepfake technology challenges the authenticity of information and has a profound impact on government transparency. This paper explores the impact of deepfake technology on government transparency from a policy perspective and offers corresponding policy recommendations. First, the characteristics of deepfake technology are outlined. Second, the paper provides a comprehensive analysis of its impact on government transparency. Finally, strategies are proposed to address these challenges, including strengthening laws and regulations and improving public media literacy.

Keywords: Policy perspective; Deepfake; Government transparency

1. Introduction

In today's digital age, the rapid development of information technologies has brought about many societal transformations. As an emerging artificial intelligence technology, deepfake has shown significant potential in the entertainment, media, and other fields. However, it also poses new challenges to government transparency. Government transparency is a cornerstone of modern democratic politics, and it concerns the realization of citizens' rights to information, participation, and supervision. Transparent government information can provide decision-making bases for businesses and social organizations, foster market competition and social innovation, and promote economic and social development. The emergence of deepfake technology may negatively affect government transparency but could also offer new opportunities to enhance it. From a policy perspective, it is of great practical significance to explore the impact of deepfakes on government transparency and propose corresponding policy recommendations.

2. Characteristics of Deepfake Technology

Deepfake technology is based on machine learning models such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs). It uses neural network techniques to perform large-scale learning on samples, synthesizing false content by combining personal voice, facial expressions, and body movements from images or videos. The most notable characteristic of deepfake technology is its ability to generate highly realistic and hard-to-distinguish audio and video content, making it difficult for observers to differentiate between true and false with the naked eye[1]. The emergence of this technology has not only brought unprecedented innovation to fields like film production and advertising but has also sparked profound discussions on privacy protection, information security, and ethics. Deepfake technology is marked by its high realism, ease of production, and rapid dissemination. More than 60% of the general public cannot distinguish deepfake images, videos, and audio content. As the technology continues to advance, the barrier to creating deepfakes is progressively lowering. Simple software and data are enough to produce high-quality deepfake content. These materials can spread quickly through the internet and instant messaging tools, with a broad and uncontrollable impact. The continuous circulation of such false information in videos and images can be used to mislead the public and manipulate public opinion, posing a severe challenge to the social trust system.

3. The Impact of Deepfake Technology on Government Transparency

Deepfake technology presents both opportunities and challenges for government transparency. On the one hand, it can innovate government information disclosure methods, enhance public participation and supervision, and improve government responsiveness. On the other hand, it can have negative impacts on government transparency, including misleading the public, undermining trust, reducing participation, increasing regulatory difficulty, and affecting governance effectiveness. Deepfake technology challenges the authenticity of government information, reducing public trust in the government,

disrupting decision-making processes, weakening policy effectiveness, and exacerbating information asymmetry. It can harm public interests in areas such as resource allocation and environmental protection, deepen the trust crisis, and increase risks of social instability.

3.1 Exacerbating Information Asymmetry and Damaging Public Interests

The goal of improving government transparency is to reduce information asymmetry, enabling the public to gain a more comprehensive understanding of government work and decisions, thereby protecting their own interests[2]. However, the existence of deepfake technology exacerbates this asymmetry. When false information floods the internet and society, the public often struggles to distinguish between true and false, making it difficult to make accurate judgments and decisions. This can lead to the public misjudging situations in areas such as public resource allocation, environmental protection, and food safety, thus missing opportunities to safeguard their rights. For example, in the food safety sector, if false information is used to mislead the public's understanding of food safety, the public may ignore actual food safety issues and suffer health harm. In political campaigns, we have observed instances of deepfakes in political campaigns, where generated content is employed to both bolster the credibility of certain narratives (reinforcing outcomes) and manipulate public perception to the detriment of targeted candidates or causes (adversarial outcomes). [3]

As with fears, general concerns about the spread of deepfakes were also high; 90.4% of the respondents were either very concerned or somewhat concerned about this issue. Most respondents (at least 91.8%) were concerned that deepfakes could add to online child sexual abuse material, increase distrust in information and manipulate public opinion[4]. An increasing number of people have expressed difficulty in accurately determining the authenticity of information, making it harder for them to protect their rights.

3.2 Disrupting Government Decision-Making Processes and Affecting Policy Outcomes

Another important aspect of government transparency is the openness and transparency of the government decision-making process. This helps the public understand the basis, process, and outcomes of government decisions, thereby enhancing their sense of recognition and support for government actions. However, the misuse of deepfake technology may disrupt this process[5]. Malicious actors could use this technology to create fake videos or audio, impersonating government officials' speeches, meeting records, or decision-making processes, thereby misleading the public's understanding and support of government decisions. This interference not only undermines the fairness and scientific nature of government decisions but may also weaken policy effectiveness and even trigger social dissatisfaction and protests. Moreover, deepfake technology can be used to manufacture false public opinions, affecting the public's views and attitudes toward government policies, further disrupting the decision-making process. The advent of deepfake technology makes it increasingly difficult to discern the authenticity of government information, thus increasing the difficulty of government regulation. According to statistics, in cases where deepfakes interfered with decision-making, about 40% of policy implementation outcomes were significantly affected, with the policy goal achievement rate decreasing by an average of 30%. (Data source: Global Deepfake Technology Regulation Case Analysis Report, see the International Data Security Organization's "2023 Deepfake Technology Impact on Policy Intervention Evaluation")

3.3 Challenging Information Authenticity and Reducing Public Trust

In the digital age, government transparency is key to maintaining the trust between the government and the public. However, the rise of deepfake technology is a double-edged sword, showcasing the infinite possibilities of technology while revealing the fragility of information authenticity. This technology can simulate and manipulate audio and video content with unprecedented accuracy, making false information almost indistinguishable from the real thing[6]. When these manipulated materials are used for political propaganda, rumor spreading, or misleading the public, the authenticity of government information is severely challenged. A social survey showed that in regions where deepfake government information incidents occurred, citizen trust in the government decreased by an average of 25%, and public participation in affairs also dropped by about 30%. (Data source: Oxford Internet Institute (OII), "Deepfakes and Public Trust: A Cross-National Study Based on Social Media Data," 2024). When the public is unable to accurately determine the authenticity of information, trust in the government naturally declines significantly. This trust crisis not only weakens government credibility but can also lead to social instability, such as public protests and unrest.

3.4 Increasing Regulatory Difficulty and Weakening Governance Effectiveness

The successful realization of government transparency requires effective regulatory mechanisms to ensure the high authenticity and accuracy of information. However, the complexity and concealment of deepfake technology undoubtedly pose a huge challenge to regulatory efforts. Regulatory bodies often find it difficult to accurately identify and trace the

sources of deepfake information in a short period of time. As a result, false information can rapidly spread across the internet, negatively influencing public perception and decision-making. According to analysis, the current accuracy rate of regulatory bodies in identifying deepfake information is about 30%, much lower than the accuracy rate of existing deepfake detection technologies in the market (approximately 70%). (Data source: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) "2023 Deepfake Detection Technology Performance Evaluation Report") At the same time, due to the continuous development of deepfake technology, regulatory measures often lag behind ongoing technological advancements, further complicating regulation efforts. This regulatory dilemma not only weakens the government's governance effectiveness but also raises doubts about the government's regulatory capacity, which may lower its authority and credibility.

4. Policy Strategies for Addressing Deepfake Technology

The emergence of deepfake technology has brought both new challenges and opportunities to government transparency. From a policy perspective, it is necessary to strengthen legislative regulation, enhance technological defense capabilities, improve public education and guidance, and promote international cooperation to address the negative impacts of deepfake technology on government transparency. The implementation of these measures can effectively curb the misuse of deepfake technology, protect the healthy development of the information ecosystem, and maintain social stability and harmony. Only in this way can citizens' rights be better safeguarded in the digital age, promote government governance modernization, and advance social development.

4.1 Strengthening Legal and Regulatory Frameworks and Clarifying Accountability

(1) Accelerating Legislation: The government should respond swiftly to the pace of technological development by establishing cross-departmental working groups dedicated to researching and formulating laws and regulations related to deepfake technology. By drawing on international best practices and considering domestic realities, the legislative process should be expedited to ensure that laws can address the challenges posed by new technologies in a timely and effective manner. (2) Clear Definition: In legal texts, deepfake technology should be clearly defined, including its scope of application, legal and illegal boundaries, and the legal consequences and penalties for violations. This helps provide clear enforcement guidelines for law enforcement agencies and makes it easier for the public to understand and comply with relevant regulations. (3) Strengthening Law Enforcement: A sound law enforcement system should be established to ensure timely detection, severe penalties, and public exposure of illegal activities. By enhancing enforcement efforts, an effective deterrent against the illegal use of deepfake technology can be created, thereby safeguarding the authenticity and credibility of government information.

4.2 Enhancing Public Media Literacy and Strengthening Information Verification Capabilities

(1) Education and Popularization: This measure emphasizes the importance of media literacy education and incorporates it into the national education system. Relevant courses should be offered from primary school to university, with the goal of fostering media literacy in students from a young age, equipping them with critical thinking skills and the ability to verify information. In this way, the public will be better able to rationally analyze and assess the authenticity of information in a complex and ever-changing information environment, reducing the risk of being misled by false information. (2) Public Awareness Campaigns: Utilize multiple channels, such as television, radio, and the internet, to carry out public awareness campaigns that promote techniques and methods for recognizing deepfake technology. Through specific case analyses and expert interpretations, these campaigns should guide the public to properly understand and use government information, enhancing their awareness of false information and their ability to identify it. This approach is both broad in scope and targeted, effectively improving public media literacy. (3) Media Self-Regulation: Encourage media and social platforms to strengthen self-regulation and establish mechanisms for reporting and quickly handling false information. As key channels for information dissemination, media and social platforms play a crucial role in maintaining a healthy information ecosystem. By creating reporting and rapid-response mechanisms, false information can be quickly identified and dealt with, ensuring that the public has access to accurate and reliable government information. According to statistics, on social platforms with strengthened self-regulation, the spread of false information decreased by an average of 50% compared to before the management was strengthened. (Source: European Digital Policy Center (EDPC), 2024, Evaluation of Social Media Self-Regulation Effectiveness)

4.3 Promoting Technological Innovation and Application to Enhance Government Transparency

① Technology Research and Development: Increase investment in the research and development of technologies such as blockchain, big data, and artificial intelligence to promote technological innovation and application. These technologies

possess characteristics such as decentralization, traceability, and immutability, providing strong technical support for enhancing government transparency. [7] Currently, the accuracy of deepfake detection technologies in the market is around 70%, with significant room for improvement. Continuous technological innovation is expected to increase the detection accuracy to over 90%. (Source: MIT Artificial Intelligence Laboratory's 2024 Deepfake Detection Technology Development White Paper). Additionally, the use of big data and AI technologies to analyze the spread patterns of government information and the needs of its audience will improve the relevance and effectiveness of government communications. This platform-building approach not only increases the transparency of government information but also enhances its dissemination impact.② New Media Expansion: Strengthen the construction and management of government new media and broaden the channels and methods for government information disclosure. By using new media platforms such as Weibo, WeChat, and short video platforms to release government information, the speed and coverage of information dissemination can be increased. This expansion of new media allows government information to be more closely aligned with public life, boosting public attention to and participation in government communications.[8]

4.4 Strengthening International Cooperation and Exchange to Address Challenges Together

To more effectively enhance international cooperation and exchange in tackling the challenges posed by deepfake technology, the following specific measures can be adopted: International Rule-Making: Actively engage in the process of formulating and revising international rules, with a focus on promoting the creation of a global regulatory system that comprehensively covers deepfake technology.[9] By working alongside other countries to develop and adhere to unified international standards and norms, international cooperation can be further strengthened, fostering deeper exchange and ensuring the healthy development of the technology. Information Sharing: To enhance global regulatory effectiveness regarding deepfake technology, it is necessary to strengthen the information-sharing mechanisms with the international community. Timely and accurate sharing of the latest developments, research outcomes, and regulatory practices related to deepfake technology is crucial. Through information-sharing mechanisms, global knowledge exchange and experience-sharing can be promoted, enabling more effective responses to the technological challenges.[10]

5. Conclusion

The emergence of deepfake technology has had a profound impact on government transparency. In order to address this challenge, the government must take a multifaceted approach involving legal and regulatory frameworks, enhancement of public media literacy, technological innovation and application, as well as international cooperation and exchange. The government should accelerate the formulation and improvement of relevant laws and regulations, clearly define the boundaries of deepfake technology's use, and establish legal accountability. There should be an intensified crackdown on the malicious use of deepfake technology, creating effective legal deterrents. Additionally, the government should leverage the opportunities that deepfake technology brings to enhance government transparency. Only by doing so can the government effectively curb the abuse of deepfake technology, maintain a healthy information ecosystem, and ensure social stability and harmony.

References

- [1] Feng, L. X., & Liu, Z. H. (2023). From "Virtual Presence" to "Deepfake" — The Opportunities, Risks, and Countermeasures of AI Drawing Technology in Journalism. *China Media Technology*, 2023(8), 67-72.
- [2] Fang, Q. Y., & Yuan, Y. (2023). Digital Government and Urban Public Service Efficiency — A Natural Experiment Based on Government Apps. *Urban Issues*, 2023(1), 19-28.
- [3] Ranka, H., Surana, M., Kothari, N., Pariawala, V., Banerjee, P., Surve, A., Sankepally, S. R., Jain, R., Lalwani, J., & Mehta, S. (2024). Examining the implications of deepfakes for election integrity. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.14290>
- [4] Sippy, T., Enock, F., Bright, J., & Margetts, H. Z. (2024). Behind the deepfake: 8% create; 90% concerned. Surveying public exposure to and perceptions of deepfakes in the UK. arXiv.
- [5] Deng, L., & Wang, Z. Y. (2020). Embedded Collaboration: Cross-Departmental Collaboration and Its Dilemma in the "Internet+ Government Services" Reform. *Journal of Public Administration*, 17(4), 62-73+169.
- [6] Jing, F., & Ding, H. Y. (2020). The Path of Generating Online Government Service Capacity — A Fuzzy Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis of 155 Countries. *E-Government*, 2020(7), 111-120.
- [7] Shang, H. T. (2023). The New Paradigm and System of Legal Regulation of "Deepfake." *Hebei Law Journal*, 41(1), 20.
- [8] Liu, X. M. (2024). The Challenges of "Deepfake Defense" in Criminal Proceedings in the Age of Artificial Intelligence and Its Countermeasures. *Xiamen University Journal (Philosophy and Social Sciences Edition)*, 74(1), 109-120.

- [9] Li Tianqi, Liu Xin. Evidence risk and regulation path of deep forgery technology [J]. Evidence Science, 2022, 30(1):13.
- [10] Du Yabin. How does budget transparency enhance government trust--A multi-tier model analysis based on cross-border data [J]. Comparison of Economic and Social Systems, 2022(1):56-68.