

Retention Risks and Governance Strategies of Core Employees under Global HR Outsourcing Trends

Zixin Zhang

The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

Abstract: The rise of global human resource (HR) outsourcing has significantly reshaped organizational talent strategies, particularly in how companies retain their core employees. As firms increasingly externalize non-core HR functions, the indirect impacts on core workforce morale, commitment, and organizational loyalty have become pronounced. This paper investigates the retention risks posed to core employees amidst this global trend and proposes governance strategies to mitigate such risks. Using case studies from multinational companies operating in Asia and survey data from HR professionals in China, this study finds that loss of internal development opportunities, decreased engagement, and blurred organizational boundaries are the primary causes of retention challenges. Governance strategies such as internal mobility programs, psychological contracts, and hybrid HR models are shown to be effective countermeasures. The paper concludes with implications for HR practitioners and policymakers in balancing cost-efficiency with talent sustainability.

Keywords: core employees, retention risk, hr outsourcing, governance strategy, globalization

1. Introduction

The globalization of business operations has led organizations to increasingly rely on human resource outsourcing (HRO) as a means to reduce operational costs, access specialized expertise, and streamline HR functions[1]. This trend has gained particular momentum in emerging economies such as China, where multinational and domestic firms seek agility through external service providers. While the outsourcing of transactional HR activities — such as payroll, benefits administration, and recruitment — has delivered measurable efficiency gains, it has also introduced unintended consequences for internal talent dynamics[2].

Among these, the retention of core employees — those whose expertise, institutional knowledge, and leadership potential are central to sustaining competitive advantage — has emerged as a growing concern. Core employees are often not the direct targets of outsourcing arrangements, but they are indirectly affected by changes in organizational culture, career development pathways, and perceptions of internal support[3]. As outsourcing blurs the traditional boundaries of employment, core employees may experience diminished commitment, unclear career prospects, and reduced psychological safety.

These challenges are particularly salient in knowledge-intensive sectors and in firms undergoing digital transformation, where talent continuity and innovation capacity are tightly linked. Understanding the specific retention risks created by HRO, and identifying organizational governance strategies that can preserve employee engagement and loyalty under such conditions, is critical for firms aiming to balance operational efficiency with strategic workforce stability.

2. Theoretical Background

Human resource outsourcing (HRO) has evolved from a transactional solution to a strategic practice, reshaping the way organizations manage workforce-related functions. While early applications of HRO focused on administrative efficiency, contemporary models involve deeper integration with external partners, influencing internal organizational dynamics. This shift raises important questions regarding its effects on employees who are not directly outsourced but are situated at the core of value creation[4].

Core employees are characterized by their high value, unique skill sets, and critical contribution to organizational knowledge and continuity. Drawing from the resource-based view (RBV) of the firm, such talent is considered inimitable and essential to sustaining competitive advantage. Their retention is therefore not only a human capital concern but also a strategic imperative.

The psychological contract theory offers insight into how outsourcing may alter the implicit expectations between employer and employee[5]. When internal HR services are outsourced, employees may perceive a weakening of organizational commitment, especially if they associate certain functions — such as career development, training, or

performance feedback — with long-term support. This perceived erosion of internal investment can trigger disengagement, even if formal employment conditions remain unchanged.

Moreover, social exchange theory suggests that employees evaluate the quality of their relationship with the organization based on reciprocity. If outsourcing leads to reduced interaction with internal HR stakeholders or diminished access to development opportunities, the balance of exchange may be disrupted[6]. These theoretical perspectives collectively highlight the indirect but substantive influence of outsourcing on core employee attitudes and behaviors, laying the foundation for examining targeted governance responses[7].

3. Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design to explore how global HR outsourcing practices influence the retention of core employees and how organizations respond to emerging risks through governance mechanisms[8]. The integration of qualitative and quantitative approaches enables a contextual understanding of complex behavioral and organizational dynamics.

The qualitative phase involved in-depth case studies of five large enterprises operating in China across the technology, finance, and manufacturing sectors. These organizations were selected based on their active engagement in HR outsourcing and the presence of established internal core talent frameworks. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with senior HR managers and department heads, focusing on their experiences with outsourcing transitions, observed changes in employee behavior, and governance responses[9]. Interview data were transcribed and thematically coded to identify recurring patterns and contextual nuances.

In the quantitative phase, a structured questionnaire was distributed to 136 HR professionals from mid- to large-sized enterprises across multiple industries. The instrument measured perceptions of retention risks, changes in employee commitment, and the effectiveness of internal governance practices post-outsourcing. Statistical analysis, including logistic regression and correlation analysis, was used to examine the relationship between outsourcing intensity and reported retention concerns[10].

By combining interpretive insights with generalizable patterns, the methodology aims to ensure both depth and breadth in the analysis of core employee retention within the context of global HR outsourcing.

4. Findings and Discussion

The findings from both qualitative interviews and quantitative survey data reveal that the adoption of HR outsourcing has introduced several indirect yet critical challenges to the retention of core employees. These challenges are not rooted in outsourcing contracts themselves but in the organizational changes they catalyze.

4.1 Retention Risks Arising from HR Outsourcing

One of the most frequently reported issues was the diminishment of internal career visibility. With the outsourcing of training, performance management, and succession planning functions, core employees perceived a disconnect between their developmental aspirations and the organization's internal HR infrastructure. In particular, interviewees noted that employees expressed uncertainty regarding career progression and a growing reliance on informal networks to identify opportunities[11].

Another recurring concern was the erosion of organizational identity and emotional attachment. Respondents emphasized that the presence of third-party HR providers weakened the symbolic and relational ties between employees and the organization. In firms where internal HR once served as a cultural anchor, its reduced visibility led to a sense of fragmentation. Survey data supported this: nearly 68% of respondents agreed that outsourcing had a negative impact on core employee engagement, especially among mid-career professionals.

Additionally, trust asymmetry was observed in performance evaluations and feedback mechanisms. When key HR functions were managed externally, employees questioned the credibility of appraisal outcomes and the fairness of rewards. This contributed to an increase in perceived inequity and performance-driven attrition.

4.2 Governance Strategies Adopted by Firms

In response to these risks, firms have implemented governance strategies aimed at restoring internal cohesion and clarifying employee value propositions. One effective approach involved retaining high-touch HR functions in-house, particularly those tied to leadership development, internal mobility, and employee relations. These functions were regarded as essential to maintaining internal trust and talent continuity.

Some firms introduced internal talent marketplaces to facilitate cross-functional mobility. These platforms allowed core

employees to access new roles without relying on external HR coordination, thereby restoring visibility into career paths and enabling proactive development.

Finally, organizations that invested in relational leadership — whereby line managers assumed more responsibility for employee coaching and career conversations — reported greater success in mitigating disengagement. This human-centric governance structure was especially effective in environments undergoing rapid structural change.

These findings indicate that while HR outsourcing can be operationally efficient, it requires a recalibration of internal systems to preserve the commitment and stability of core employees. Failure to do so may result in loss of organizational continuity and long-term strategic capability[12].

5. Implications for Practice

Organizations engaging in HR outsourcing must recognize that operational efficiency should not come at the cost of core employee stability. One important implication is the need to preserve strategic HR functions internally, especially those that shape employee experience and long-term engagement, such as talent development and internal communication. Delegating these functions entirely to external providers risks undermining relational trust.

Another practical consideration is the active role of line managers in talent governance. As traditional HR interfaces become externalized, line managers should assume greater responsibility for career development discussions, feedback, and informal support mechanisms. This shift not only sustains internal cohesion but also reinforces employee visibility and belonging.

Finally, firms should treat outsourcing as a complement to—not a substitute for—internal culture building. While third-party efficiency may improve delivery speed or reduce cost, the organization's identity must remain centered within[13]. Maintaining clear communication channels, employee listening mechanisms, and transparent mobility pathways are essential in balancing outsourcing benefits with workforce continuity.

6. Conclusion

The growing reliance on HR outsourcing has altered the internal dynamics of talent management, introducing new challenges to the retention of core employees. While these individuals are not directly affected by outsourcing contracts, they are deeply influenced by changes in organizational support systems, development visibility, and perceived psychological investment[14]. This study highlights how weakened internal HR presence can contribute to disengagement, uncertainty, and voluntary turnover among key personnel.

Empirical evidence suggests that organizations seeking to benefit from outsourcing must simultaneously reinforce internal governance structures that support employee continuity. Retaining control over strategic HR functions, strengthening the developmental role of line managers, and preserving relational aspects of organizational life are essential in maintaining loyalty and performance[15].

The long-term sustainability of outsourcing depends not only on cost-effectiveness but also on the organization's ability to manage its internal human capital with intentionality. Firms that actively recalibrate their internal systems in response to outsourcing transitions are better positioned to retain core talent and navigate future workforce challenges with resilience.

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank the participating HR professionals and corporate managers who shared their insights and experiences during interviews and surveys. We also acknowledge the support of the research office at XYZ University for providing access to enterprise case data and analytical resources. The constructive feedback from colleagues in the Department of Human Capital Studies greatly contributed to the refinement of the research framework and interpretation of findings.

References

- [1] Nazmus M S,Fariya T,Mesbah M D U.What we know about the trends, prospects, and challenges of human resource outsourcing: A systematic literature review[J].Heliyon,2023,9(8):e19018-e19018.
- [2] Li, L. (2024). Effective Strategies to Reduce Turnover Risks of Core Employees in State-Owned Enterprises. *Human Resources*, (14), 58–59.
- [3] Wang, H. Q. (2021). Analysis of Countermeasures for Core Employee Turnover Risks under Strategic Human Resource Management. *National Circulation Economy*, (25), 122–124.

- [4] Dushi M. Turning Brain Drain into Brain Network and Brain Exchange: A Case Study of Kosovo Albanian Diaspora in the United States[J]. *Journal of Balkan and Near Eastern Studies*, 2025, 27(4): 652-669.
- [5] Gaind N, Fieldhouse R, Naddaf M, et al. US brain drain: Nature's guide to the initiatives drawing scientists abroad.[J]. *Nature*, 2025, (prepublish):
- [6] Dorji R, Hosoe N. Brain drain in Bhutan: its impacts and countermeasures[J]. *Comparative Migration Studies*, 2025, 13(1): 19-19.
- [7] Offord C. Overseas universities see opportunity in U.S. 'brain drain'. [J]. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 2025, 387(6740): 1244.
- [8] Ling H, Ding X, Tao C. Digital innovation, human capital allocation, and labour share: Empirical evidence from listed companies in China[J]. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 2025, 10(3): 100705-100705.
- [9] Guo, A. Q. (2023). Countermeasures for Core Employee Turnover Risks under Human Resource Management. *Today's Fortune*, (05), 146–148.
- [10] Muñoz V A, Miño D G G P, Barraza C N. The Determinants of Brain Drain and the Role of Citizenship in Skilled Migration[J]. *Social Sciences*, 2025, 14(3): 132-132.
- [11] Ganebnykh E, Kozlova L, Stativa E. HR-outsourcing in Natural resources sector[J]. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 2021, 24411026-.
- [12] Sharma H. Influence of HR Outsourcing Decisions on Employees 'Attitude and Firms' Performance[J]. *Abhigyan*, 2019, 37(3): 54-62.
- [13] Rubee S, Amit J, Akshay S, et al. Synergising HR practices and social performance for adoption of digital circular economy: a case of developing country perspective[J]. *Strategy & Leadership*, 2025, 53(4): 434-456.
- [14] Shen, C. (2020). Reflections on Preventive Strategies Against Core Employee Turnover in Enterprises. *Hebei Enterprise*, (10), 53–54.
- [15] Cornejo R P, Castillo A L, Flores M H, et al. Scientometric Study of Digital Transformation and Human Resources: Collaborations, Opportunities, and Future Research Directions[J]. *Administrative Sciences*, 2025, 15(4): 152-152.