

Marketing Strategy of Apple in the Chinese

Zhiyuan Hao

Administration Graduate School Of Business, Siam University, Bangkok 10160, Thailand

Abstract: With the development of science and technology and the increasing frequency of international exchanges, globalization has become an irreversible trend. As one of the largest developing countries in the world, China has gradually occupied a pivotal position in the global market with its huge market size, rich resources and increasing economic strength. This paper analyzes Apple's marketing strategy from the perspective of consumers, aiming to explore the reasons for its success and its impact on consumer behavior, and to study the use of 4P marketing theory, 4C marketing theory, integrated marketing theory and consumer behavior theory as a framework to comprehensively evaluate Apple's marketing performance in different dimensions. Apple has successfully attracted and maintained a large number of loyal consumers through precise product positioning, innovative pricing strategies, extensive distribution channels, and effective promotional methods. It has also played a key role in improving brand image and user satisfaction. It also provides companies with optimization. Its marketing strategy provides valuable reference and puts forward guidance and suggestions to help companies better understand and respond to market challenges, and provide reference and enlightenment for other companies

Keywords: Apple, marketing strategy, consumer, 4P, 4C

1. Introduction

Cai, Q., & Chen, S. (2024) This paper will deeply analyze Apple's marketing strategy in the Chinese market. Starting from its product positioning, pricing strategy, promotion activities and other dimensions, it will use 4P marketing theory to compare and analyze the competitive advantages and disadvantages of Apple's mobile phone sales in the Chinese market. 4C theory emphasizes that enterprises should first pay attention to the needs and desires of consumers from the perspective of consumers, focus on the total cost of consumers buying and using products, including the cost of time, energy, transportation, etc., to help companies better price and design products[1].

The purpose of this paper includes the following aspects:

(1) Understand Apple's brand positioning and image-building strategies in the Chinese market, reveal how Apple maintains its high-end brand image in a highly competitive market, and attract target consumers, and evaluate how these strategies can enhance the competitiveness of products in the Chinese market. Meet the needs of local consumers.

(2) Analyze Apple's pricing strategy in the Chinese market and its impact on sales and brand image, after-sales service and customer support strategy in the Chinese market, reveal the role of after-sales service and customer support in improving customer satisfaction and brand loyalty, and how Apple finds a balance between high-end brand positioning and price-sensitive consumer demand.

(3) to understand the Chinese consumer demand for Apple products, purchase motivation, preferences and behavior, to meet the specific needs and expectations of local consumers, so as to increase market share, to provide a basis for the optimization of products and services

(4) To study the decision-making process and its influencing factors of Chinese consumers when purchasing Apple products, to reveal the considerations of consumers in brand choice, product choice and purchase behavior, to evaluate the loyalty and satisfaction of Chinese consumers to Apple brand, to understand the real feelings of consumers on Apple products and services, in order to optimize customer relationship management.

(5) Collect and analyze the experience and feedback of Chinese consumers in the process of using Apple products and services, and help Apple improve product design and service processes by understanding the actual use experience of consumers, discovering the advantages and disadvantages of products and services.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical basis

With the rapid development of the Chinese market and changes in consumer demand, Apple's marketing strategy shows a new trend. Based on the analysis of integrated marketing theory and customer loyalty theory, its success factors include

the integration of advertising, public relations, promotion and other channels to convey brand value, and enhance consumer purchase intention and loyalty. In addition, Philip Kotler's marketing theory in Marketing Management, as well as Don Schultz's integrated marketing theory, provide a theoretical framework for understanding Apple's strategy[2].

2.2 The development process of marketing theory.

Marketing from the beginning of the 20th century from the economics of independence, and in the 1950 s to the management paradigm of its theory has experienced germination, formation, development and perfection, differentiation and expansion of the stage. The change of market environment promotes the adjustment of enterprise marketing practice, and then promotes the development of theory.

Especially in the United States, the development of marketing theory is divided into six stages: germination, functional research, formation and consolidation, management orientation, collaborative development and differentiation and expansion. Market-oriented enterprises gain competitive advantage through in-depth understanding of customers, markets and competitors, flexible use of information technology to guide strategy, and maintain a keen sense of market trends[3].

2.3 Factors affecting consumer behavior

With the development of social economy, the high pricing of Apple products has shaped the image of high-end brands, but it also makes some consumers hesitate when comparing prices. Through market segmentation, Apple divides consumers into groups such as young people, business people and technology enthusiasts, and launches targeted products and services to meet different needs[4].

Family structure has a significant impact on consumption behavior, such as the different consumption preferences of single and married consumers, as well as families with children. The reputation and service level of manufacturers also directly affect consumer trust and purchase decisions.

Apple focuses on the integration of online and offline channels to enhance shopping convenience and brand trust through online booking and offline pickup. At the same time, Apple continues to innovate and upgrade its products to maintain product competitiveness, attracting a large number of consumers who pay attention to new technologies[5].

3. Research Methods

3.1 Consumer Group Survey

In this paper, a questionnaire is developed for different consumer groups in the Chinese market (e. g., by age, income, scene, occupation, etc.) to study their behavioral characteristics and consumption habits. Develop targeted marketing strategies to meet the needs of different consumer groups.

Collect information about Apple's marketing strategies and innovative products in the Chinese market, and learn some marketing strategies for Apple to enter the Chinese market through the Apple China Enterprise Report. For example, Apple will subdivide according to the age range of users in the Chinese market[6]. Through in-depth analysis of these different consumer groups, we will better understand their behavior characteristics and consumption habits, including their purchase motivation, purchase frequency purchase channels, usage habits, etc[7].

.

3.2 Questionnaire survey

Name	Options	Frequency	Percentage%
1 . V	Male	106	49.302
1. Your gender	Female	109	50.698
	45 years and above	21	9.767
	35-44 years old	46	21.395
2. Your age	18-24 years old	60	27.907
	25-34 years old	88	40.930
	Third-tier cities and below	43	20.000
3. Your city is	First-tier cities (such as Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Shenzhen)	66	30.698
	second-tier cities	106	49.302

Name	Options	Frequency	Percentage%
	15000 yuan and above	13	6.047
	10000-14999 yuan	34	15.814
4. Your monthly income	3000-5999 yuan	44	20.465
lineonie	Below 3000 yuan	60	27.907
	6000-9999 yuan	64	29.767
	Other	4	1.860
	Management personnel	7	3.256
	Freelancers	10	4.651
5. Your occupation	medical and health work	14	6.512
IS	Educators	14	6.512
	professional technical work	22	10.233
	Students	53	24.651
	Enterprise Staff	91	42.326
Do you own Apple products?	No	46	21.395
	Yes	169	78.605

Using frequency analysis is used to study the distribution of fixed data, select the frequency and percentage of the analysis results as shown in the figure above.

Multi-choice analysis, analysis of the proportion of multiple-choice selection, etc.; response rate is used to compare the relative proportion of each option.

The chi-square goodness-of-fit test was used to analyze whether the proportional distribution was uniform for each option of multiple-choice questions. From the above table, it can be seen that the goodness-of-fit test shows significance (chi = 311.214, p = 0.000 < 0.05), which means that the selection ratio of each item has obvious difference[8].

9. What is the main reason why you buy Apple	R	esponse	Departmention meta $(n = 215)$
products?	n	response rate	Penetration rate (n = 215)
Brand influence	147	24.92 percent	68.37 percent
Product quality	188	31.86 percent	87.44 percent
innovative technology	111	18.81 percent	51.63 percent
After-sales service	26	4.41 percent	12.09 percent
Price Promotion	116	19.66 percent	53.95 percent
Other	2	0.34 percent	0.93 percent
Summary	590	100 percent	274.42 percent
Note: $\chi 2=258.237 \text{ p} = 0.000 \text{ in goodness-of-fit test}$			

Table 2. Summary table of response rates and	penetration rates
Table 2. Summary table of response rates and	penetration rates

The chi-square goodness-of-fit test was used to analyze whether the proportional distribution was uniform for each option of multiple-choice questions.

From the above table, it can be seen that the goodness-of-fit test is significant (chi = 258.237, p = 0.000 < 0.05), which means that the selection ratio of each item is significantly different, which can be seen specifically by comparing the difference in response rate or penetration rate.

Among the main reasons for buying Apple products, the response rate and penetration rate of brand influence, product quality, innovative technology, price promotion are significantly higher.

Description analysis is used to study the overall situation of quantitative data, through the average or skewness and other information to describe the overall situation of the data, from table 3 can be seen: the absolute value of the kurtosis is less than 3, the current data distribution flat state is approximately normal distribution. The skewness is all around 0, and the current data distribution is approximately normally distributed[9].

Table 5. Descriptive statistical results										
Variable name	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis				
Product Strategy	1.000	5.000	3.592	1.093	-0.684	-0.842				
Price Strategy	1.000	5.000	3.609	1.233	-0.929	-0.320				
Channel Strategy	1.000	5.000	3.831	0.948	-1.156	0.298				
Promotion Strategy	1.000	5.000	3.619	1.178	-0.727	-0.716				
customer demand	1.000	5.000	3.567	1.094	-0.720	-0.798				
Purchase convenience	1.333	5.000	3.874	0.922	-1.130	0.233				
Customer Communication	1.000	5.000	3.737	1.033	-1.038	0.322				
Purchase Intention and Behavior	1.000	5.000	3.698	1.013	-0.947	-0.343				

Table 3. Descriptive statistical results

The statistical results reveal that the average scores (mean) for various marketing and customer experience dimensions primarily cluster between 3.5 and 3.9, suggesting a moderately positive to good evaluation by respondents regarding firms' performance in these areas. Notably, channel strategy and purchase convenience achieved higher mean values (3.831 and 3.874, respectively), highlighting enterprises' effectiveness in selecting appropriate product distribution channels and providing a convenient purchasing experience. The degree of score dispersion, indicated by standard deviation, is relatively low (\leq 1.233), with channel strategy and purchasing convenience exhibiting even smaller deviations (0.948 and 0.922, respectively), affirming the consistent and widely recognized performance in these sectors. While product strategy, pricing strategy, and customer demand have slightly lower mean values, their standard deviations remain small, pointing to stable yet improvable performance. The promotional strategy's mean (3.619) aligns with product and pricing strategies but has a slightly larger standard deviation (1.178), indicating varied customer perceptions and acceptance, necessitating further optimization. Similarly, customer communication and purchase intention and behavior have high mean values with moderate standard deviations, indicating good performance with room for enhancement[10].

3.3 Analysis of effectiveness and credibility

3.3.1 Reliability analysis

The Cronbach coefficient (Cronbach alpha) is an indicator of the reliability of questionnaires and is widely used in the analysis of empirical data.

When the Cronbach alpha value of the scale designed by the questionnaire is lower than 0.7, it means that the internal consistency of the variables of the scale is poor, and the scale needs to be re-compiled. When the Cronbach alpha value of the scale is higher than 0.7, the internal consistency of several variables constructed by the scale is good. If the Cronbach alpha value of the scale is higher than 0.9, the internal consistency of the variables designed by the scale is excellent.

In addition, this study adopts Corrected Item-Total Correlation (CITC) to measure the reliability of individual problem items. In the study, a problem item should be deleted when the following two conditions are met:(1) the overall correlation coefficient CITC of a problem item is less than 0.4;(2) the Cronbach alpha coefficient of the scale after deleting the problem item is greater than the value of the Cronbach alpha coefficient of the corresponding dimension.

The results of the reliability analysis are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Reliability Analysis									
	Strategy	Revised term and total correlation	Delete Clonbach Alpha after entry	Clonbach Alpha					
	Product Strategy 1	0.675	0.732						
Product Strategy	Product Strategy 2	0.674	0.735	0.813					
	Product Strategy 3	0.644	0.764						
	Price Strategy 1	0.689	0.689	0.017					
Price Strategy	Pricing Strategy 2	0.689	0.689	0.816					
	Channel Strategy 1	0.507	0.715						
Channel Strategy	Channel Strategy 2	0.577	0.634	0.737					
	Channel Strategy 3	0.604	0.601						
	Promotion Strategy 1	0.610	0.610	0.757					
Promotion Strategy	Promotion Strategy 2	0.610	0.610	0.757					

	Strategy	Revised term and total correlation	Delete Clonbach Alpha after entry	Clonbach Alpha
	Customer Needs 1	0.679	0.736	
customer demand	Customer demand 2	0.626	0.787	0.815
	Customer needs 3	0.699	0.713	
	Ease of purchase 1	0.509	0.708	
Purchase convenience	Convenience of purchase 2	0.607	0.592	0.736
	Purchase convenience 3	0.567	0.644	
Customer	Customer Communication 1	0.581	0.581	0.525
Communication	Customer Communication 2	0.581	0.581	0.735
	Purchase Intention and Behavior 1	0.661	0.800	
Purchase Intention	Purchase Intention and Behavior 2	0.692	0.786	0.020
and Behavior	Purchase Intention and Behavior 3	0.675	0.794	0.839
	Purchase Intention and Behavior 4	0.654	0.803	

The results show that the Cronbach alpha coefficient corresponding to the product strategy variable is 0.813, the Cronbach alpha coefficient corresponding to the price strategy variable is 0.816, the Cronbach alpha coefficient corresponding to the channel strategy variable is 0.737, the Cronbach alpha coefficient corresponding to the promotion strategy variable is 0.757, the Cronbach alpha coefficient corresponding to the customer demand variable is 0.815, and the Cronbach alpha coefficient corresponding to the purchase convenience variable is 0.736, the Cronbach alpha coefficient value corresponding to the customer communication variable is 0.735, and the Cronbach alpha coefficient value corresponding to the purchase intention and behavior variable is 0.839. The Cronbach alpha coefficient value of each variable is greater than 0.7. At the same time, the CITC value of each item and the Cronbach alpha value of deleted items meet the research requirements, indicating that the stability of each variable in the questionnaire is high and the reliability basically passes the test.

3.3.2 Validity and factor analysis

Validity test is the process of evaluating the validity of the questionnaire, and whether the measurement tool can accurately measure the validity of the target includes content validity, criterion validity and construct validity. The validity of the construct was extracted by factor analysis to test whether the design structure of the questionnaire was established.

KMO and Bartlett sphericity tests were performed before factor analysis. The closer the KMO value is to 1, the stronger the correlation between variables and the more suitable the data for factor analysis; Bartlett test significance less than 0.05 indicates that it is suitable for factor analysis. In general, KMO > 0.7 meets the factor analysis requirements.

Through SPSS analysis, significance is less than 0.05 and KMO > 0.8, high validity; 0.70.8, good validity 0.60.7, acceptable validity; < 0.6, poor validity.

Table 5. Inspection of KMO and Bartlett						
KMO value		0.818				
Bartlett sphericity test	Approximate chi-square	1813.969				
	df	231.000				
	p-value	0.000				

Using factor analysis for information enrichment research, first analyze whether the research data is suitable for factor analysis, as can be seen from the table above: KMO is 0.818, greater than 0.6, to meet the prerequisite requirements of factor analysis, meaning that the data can be used for factor analysis research. And the data passed the Bartlett sphericity test (p<0.05), indicating that the study data are suitable for factor analysis.

	Table 6. Inspection of KMO and Bartlett												
	C	haracteristic ro	ot	Rotation forwa	rd difference int	erpretation rate	Rate of varian	ce interpretation	n after rotation				
Factor Number	Number Characteristic val		characteristic interpretation Cumulative% Characteristic inter		characteristic interpretation Cumulative% Char		Variance interpretation rate%	Cumulative%	Characteristic Variance root interpretation C rate%		Cumulative%		
1	6.251	28.414	28.414	6.251	28.414	28.414	2.726	12.390	12.390				
2	1.911	8.687	37.101	1.911	8.687	37.101	2.236	10.164	22.554				
3	1.664	7.561	44.662	1.664	7.561	44.662	2.031	9.231	31.786				

Table 6. Inspection of KMO and Bartlett

	Characteristic root			Characteristic root Rotation forward dif				rd difference in	nce interpretation rate Rate of variance interpretation			n after rotation
Factor Number	Characteristic root	Variance interpretation rate%	Cumulative%	Characteristic root	Variance interpretation rate%	Cumulative%	Characteristic root	Variance interpretation rate%	Cumulative%			
4	1.500	6.818	51.480	1.500	6.818	51.480	1.624	7.380	39.166			
5	1.419	6.451	57.931	1.419	6.451	57.931	2.193	9.967	49.133			
6	1.234	5.610	63.542	1.234	5.610	63.542	2.044	9.289	58.422			
7	1.138	5.175	68.717	1.138	5.175	68.717	1.656	7.526	65.948			
8	1.086	4.938	73.654	1.086	4.938	73.654	1.695	7.707	73.654			
9	0.646	2.937	76.591									
10	0.587	2.668	79.259									
11	0.548	2.490	81.749									
12	0.514	2.337	84.086									
13	0.458	2.084	86.170									
14	0.448	2.037	88.206									
15	0.426	1.937	90.144									
16	0.394	1.791	91.934									
17	0.359	1.630	93.564									
18	0.330	1.501	95.065									
19	0.307	1.398	96.463									
20	0.288	1.307	97.770									
21	0.266	1.208	98.978									
22	0.225	1.022	100.000									

The above table analyzes the factor extraction and the amount of factor extraction information. From the above table, it can be seen that a total of 8 factors are extracted by factor analysis, and the characteristic root values are all greater than 1. The variance explanation rates of these 8 factors after rotation are 12.390 10.164 9.231 7.380 9.967 9.289 7.526 7.707 73.654 respectively.

factor load coefficient Common degree Name (common factor Factor 2 Factor 4 Factor 5 Factor 6 Factor 7 Factor 8 Factor 1 Factor 3 variance) Product Strategy 1 0.153 0.109 -0.001 0 794 0.220 0.136 0.112 0.749 0.057 Product Strategy 2 0.130 0.085 0.196 0.085 0.806 0.146 -0.062 0.161 0.770 Product Strategy 3 0.190 0.139 0.026 0.792 0.040 0.176 0.011 0.740 0.151 Price Strategy 1 0.167 0.160 0.028 -0.009 0.132 0.068 0.080 0.867 0.835 Pricing Strategy 2 0.184 0.101 0.103 0.038 0.103 -0.015 0.089 0.878 0.845 Channel Strategy 1 0.228 -0.029 0.726 -0.031 0.188 0.015 0.135 0.067 0.639 0.070 Channel Strategy 2 0.176 0.771 0.038 0.040 0.204 0.097 0.051 0.686 Channel Strategy 3 0.108 0.087 0.817 0.100 0.081 0.142 -0.078 0.027 0.729 0.022 0.225 0.022 0.072 0.815 Promotion Strategy 1 0.120 0.059 0.167 0.844 Promotion Strategy 2 0.118 0.076 0.011 0.174 0.150 0.079 0.839 0.101 0.793 Customer Needs 1 0.202 0.828 0.075 0.067 0.053 0.127 0.079 0.031 0.763 Customer demand 2 0.094 0.784 0.087 0.103 0.083 0.007 0.092 0.167 0.685 Customer needs 3 0.131 0.851 0.068 -0.019 0.179 0.029 -0.062 0.078 0.789 0.213 0.074 -0.057 Ease of purchase 1 0.067 0.067 0.195 0.197 0.666 0.584 0.010 0.088 0.050 0.091 Convenience of purchase 2 0.235 0.050 0.832 -0.008 0.769 Purchase convenience 3 0.104 0.042 0.070 0.014 0.138 0.778 0.199 0.018 0.683 Customer Communication 1 0.184 0.034 0.057 0.825 0.100 0.137 0.128 -0.052 0.766 0.108 0.095 0.039 0.885 0.033 0.031 0.054 0.076 0.816 Customer Communication 2 Purchase Intention and Behavior 1 0.778 0.107 0.060 0.030 0.108 0.060 0.174 0.161 0.693

Table 7. Table of factor load coefficients after rotation

) Y		Common degree							
Name	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6	Factor 7	Factor 8	(common factor variance)
Purchase Intention and Behavior 2	0.809	0.166	0.123	0.110	0.115	0.041	0.070	0.071	0.735
Purchase Intention and Behavior 3	0.724	0.142	0.108	0.136	0.152	0.244	0.064	0.110	0.674
Purchase Intention and Behavior 4	0.702	0.102	0.205	0.137	0.162	0.164	0.123	0.113	0.645

The data in this study were rotated using the maximum variance rotation method (varimax) in order to find the correspondence between the factors and the study items. The above table shows the information extraction of factors for research items and the corresponding relationship between factors and research items. From the above table, it can be seen that the common value corresponding to all research items is higher than 0.4, and the absolute value of the corresponding factor load coefficient is greater than 0.5, which means that there is a strong correlation between research items and factors, and factors can effectively extract information. Therefore, the scale has good construct validity.

3.3.3 Correlation analysis

Correlation analysis, as a 1 measure of the strength of statistical relationships between things, aims to measure the strength of the linear correlation between variables. The correlation analysis focuses on the strength and direction of the direct linear correlation between the two variables, and in the correlation analysis, both variables are outcome variables, regardless of primary or secondary.

Generally, the correlation coefficient R is used to describe the linear correlation degree between variables. The positive and negative values of the correlation coefficient R indicate the direction of direct linear correlation between the two variables. R>0 is positive correlation, R<0 is negative correlation, R = 0 is zero correlation. The absolute value of R indicates the closeness of linear correlation between the two variables. The closer the absolute value of R is to 1, the higher the closeness; the closer the absolute value of R is to 0, the lower the closeness. The Pearson correlation coefficient, also known as the product-difference correlation coefficient, is a common indicator that quantitatively describes the degree of linear correlation.

	Table 8. Pearson related											
	Product Strategy	Price Strategy	Channel Strategy	Promotion Strategy	customer demand	Purchase convenience	Customer Communication	Purchase Intention and Behavior				
Product Strategy	1											
Price Strategy	0.300 * *	1										
Channel Strategy	0.320 * *	0.198 * *	1									
Promotion Strategy	0.296 * *	0.245 * *	0.205 * *	1								
customer demand	0.314 * *	0.297 * *	0.242 * *	0.167 *	1							
Purchase convenience	0.370 * *	0.136 *	0.356 * *	0.302 * *	0.199 * *	1						
Customer Communication	0.206 * *	0.097	0.158 *	0.253 * *	0.180 * *	0.233 * *	1					
Purchase Intention and Behavior	0.427 * *	0.374 * *	0.377 * *	0.388 * *	0.373 * *	0.379 * *	0.329 * *	1				

Correlation analysis using Pearson correlation coefficients reveals significant positive relationships between various marketing and customer experience variables and purchase intention and behavior. Specifically, product strategy correlates with purchase intention and behavior at 0.427, indicating a strong positive link where aligning product strategy with consumer needs and offering high-quality products enhances purchasing willingness and behavior. Similarly, price strategy (0.374) and channel strategy (0.377) show significant positive correlations, suggesting that reasonable pricing and convenient, diverse purchase channels are crucial for boosting consumer purchase intentions and promoting buying behavior. Promotional strategies (0.388) also exhibit a notable impact, with effective promotions such as discounts, gifts, and coupons attracting consumer attention and stimulating purchasing actions. Customer demand (0.373) serves as the foundation for purchase intention and behavior, emphasizing the importance of customer-centric marketing strategies. Purchase convenience (0.379) further confirms the role of ease in the purchase decision-making process, while good customer communication (0.329)

fosters trust, enhances brand loyalty, and promotes purchase behavior through better understanding and tailored offerings. In summary, the strong positive correlations underscore the necessity for businesses to comprehensively consider products, prices, channels, promotions, customer needs, purchase convenience, and customer communication in formulating marketing strategies to comprehensively improve consumers' purchase intentions and behaviors.

4. Conclusion

Founded in 1976 by Steve Jobs, Steve Wozniak, and Ron Wayne with the Apple I, Apple has revolutionized the consumer electronics market through iconic products like the iPod, iPhone, and iPad, solidifying its global leadership. This success stems from its commitment to innovation, stylish design, and high-quality service. From the Apple II in 1977 to challenges with the Macintosh in 1984, and Jobs' return in 1997 leading to revolutionary products, Apple has continued to innovate under CEO Tim Cook, expanding into new lines like Apple Watch and AirPods. Its product strategy emphasizes innovative design and "hunger marketing," fostering brand loyalty through a high-end image and consistent operating system. Pricing strategies and marketing channels focus on maintaining price stability, enhancing user experience, and expanding market coverage. For the Chinese market, Apple should strengthen technological innovation, expand reach, improve service and compatibility, optimize channel management, and enhance public relations. Apple's success offers valuable insights for domestic enterprises.

References

- Yu Xiaofei.(2021). Research on Influencing Factors of Differentiated Marketing Strategy of Mobile Phone-Taking Apple Mobile Phone as an Example. Modern Marketing (Business Edition)(08),90-91. doi:10.19921/j.cn ki.1009-2994.2021-08-0090-044.
- [2] Chen Zhishen. (2021). A case study of Apple's brand influence. Modern Business (17), 18-21. doi:10.14097/j.cn ki.5392/2021.17.006.
- [3] Guo Hao, Wang Sisi & Gao Hongchang.(2022). Enterprise Environmental Analysis and Strategic Choice-Taking Apple as an Example. China Storage and Transportation (01),101-102. doi:10.16301/j.cn ki.cn12-1204/f.2022.01.050.
- [4] Lu Yangxi.(2021). Mobile terminal experience marketing from Apple. Marketing (13),1-2. doi:CNKI:SUN:YXJI. 0.2021-13-001.
- [5] Luo Xin & Liu Yanli.(2021). Apple's Strategic Choices. Cooperative Economics and Technology (07),116-117. doi:10.13665/j.cn ki.hzjjykj. 2021.07.045.
- [6] Y ang xinyue.(2021). Marketing Analysis of Apple Mobile Phone. Modern Business Industry (07),39-40. doi:10.19311/j. cn ki.1672-3198.2021.07.019.
- [7] Sheng Xin & Li Li.(2021). Research on Marketing Strategies of Domestic Brands under the Background of Price Reduction of "Apple Mobile Phone"-Analysis of Survey Data from College Students. China Market (03),125-126. DOI: 10.13939/J. Cnki. ZGSC. 2021.03.125.
- [8] Wang Siqi.(2019). Problems and Research Analysis of M Mobile Phone Hunger Marketing Strategy. Marketing (48),110-111. doi:CNKI:SUN:YXJI. 0.2019-48-086.
- [9] Cai Xinyue.(2019). Apple's marketing strategy research based on the concept of brand marketing. Brand Research (10),103-104. doi:10.19373/j.cn ki.14-1384/f.2019.10.042.
- [10] Zhang Yating.(2019). Marketing Strategy of Apple Mobile Phone in China Market (Master Thesis, Xinjiang University of Finance and Economics).https://kns.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx?dbname=CMFD202101&file-name=1019840079.nh