F-S-P

DOI: 10.32629/rerr.v5i4.1395

ISSN Online: 2661-4634 ISSN Print: 2661-4626

From the West to the East: differences and integration of art education from a globalization perspective

Rujuan CHEN

Philippine Christian University, Manila 1004, Philippines

Abstract: In the context of globalization, art education is increasingly showing its diversity. Especially between the West and the East, there are significant differences in teaching methods, teaching concepts, and teaching practices. However, differences do not necessarily mean opposition, on the contrary, this diversity creates possibilities for the integration of various cultures. This article delves into these differences and examines how integration strategies can build a more inclusive and global new framework for art education.

Key words: globalization; art education; cultural differences; integration; the West and the East

1 Introduction

As a form of art with profound influence, art is not only deeply influenced by local culture, but also has rich interactions with other cultures. Based on this, how art education can maintain local characteristics while actively accepting other cultural elements has gradually become the focus of attention for educators and scholars. In the context of globalization, this article analyzes the differences in teaching methods, teaching concepts, and teaching practices between Eastern and Western art education, and proposes new ideas on how to promote the development and integration of Eastern and Western art education.

2 Comparison of the characteristics of art education between the West and the East

2.1 Influence of historical background

From the profound historical background, both Eastern and Western art education have nurtured unique characteristics. These characteristics are not only products of the times and regions, but also deeply influenced by historical and cultural traditions and philosophical concepts.

Western art education is deeply influenced by ancient civilizations such as Greece and Rome. The culture of ancient Greece emphasized human subjectivity, believing that humans were the standard by which everything was measured, thus advocating for individual expression and free innovation. The pragmatism of ancient Rome also laid a foundation for the central idea of "making study serve for the practical purpose" [1], and this spirit of encouraging exploration, expression, and innovation has led Western art education to value critical thinking and encourage students to challenge established norms, and dare to practice with new art forms, thus achieving individual artistic creativity.

On the contrary, the source of Eastern art education mainly comes from the 5,000-year civilization process of the

Chinese cultural circle. Within such a time span, art education in the East has developed an educational model that emphasizes tradition, respects predecessors, and emphasizes the inheritance of skills. For example, calligraphy education in China emphasizes "imitating nature", emphasizing the imitation of predecessors and achieving mastery of skills through extensive copying of classics. In addition, traditional Chinese painting also emphasizes the need for painters to "match their skills with their inner emotions", which requires students to not only master their skills but also deeply study and experience the artistic philosophy of their predecessors.

This kind of reverence for tradition and emphasis on craftsmanship make art education in the East a great inheritance of craftsmanship, and it is not only about techniques, but also about the transmission of a culture, a philosophy, and even a philosophy of life.

Overall, art education in both the East and the West has its own strengths and weaknesses, reflecting the core values and philosophical ideas of their respective cultures. In today's globalized world, it is a question that every educator and scholar should consider how to maintain their own characteristics while learning from each other's strengths and weaknesses to achieve the true height of art education.

2.2 Differences in teaching methods

The art education methods of the East and the West reflect the characteristics and philosophical thinking of their respective cultures. These methods are not only educational tools, but also the continuation and inheritance of culture and traditions.

Western art education focuses on cultivating students' innovative abilities and critical thinking. In the classroom, teachers often encourage students to challenge existing norms and dare to try new art forms and technologies. This open teaching method gives Western art education a high degree of freedom and flexibility. For example, when faced with a canvas, students may be encouraged to explore different painting materials and techniques to achieve their artistic expression. In addition, evaluation systems in the West tend to value students' thinking process and innovative spirit more than just the completion of finished products [2].

In stark contrast, Eastern art education places greater emphasis on the cultivation of skills and the inheritance of traditions. This educational method stems from a deep respect for the wisdom of predecessors. In Eastern classrooms, students often need to achieve proficiency through repeated imitation and practice. For example, when learning calligraphy, students may need to repeatedly copy classics until their skills reach an extreme level. It's believed that only through extensive imitation and practice can one truly understand and master an art. At the same time, the evaluation system in the East places greater emphasis on students' proficiency in skills and loyalty to tradition.

Although these two completely different teaching methods have their own unique values and advantages, how to integrate these two methods and fully utilize their advantages in the context of globalization to cultivate students with both critical thinking and profound skills is a topic worth exploring.

2.3 Comparison of artistic concepts

The concept of art is a product of the interweaving of culture, history, and philosophy. In Eastern and Western cultures, distinct artistic concepts have emerged due to their respective historical backgrounds and philosophical traditions.

The history of Western art, especially since the Renaissance, has emphasized the expression of individuals and their subjective emotions. For example, Leonardo da Vinci's *Mona Lisa* not only portrays the appearance of the woman in the painting, but also expresses her profound inner world. Over time, Western art has gradually shifted from formal imitation to the expression of emotions and concepts, such as the capture of light and shadow in the Impressionism, the deconstruction of form in Cubism, and even to the pure color and form expression of abstract art. In education, this

emphasis on personal emotion and innovation is always implemented, encouraging students to pursue personalized artistic styles and have the courage to express their opinions and emotions.

Compared to individualism in the West, Eastern art, especially Chinese art, emphasizes more on the integration of overall harmony, balance, and the concept of the universe. Traditional Chinese landscape painters, such as Fan Kuan of the Song Dynasty and Ni Zan of the Yuan Dynasty, their masterpieces are not simply natural reproductions, but philosophical reflections on the relationship between nature, the universe, and humanity [3]. Every stroke contains the painter's thoughts and insights on nature and life. This pursuit of harmony and balance in artistic concepts has become the core of Eastern art education.

Two different artistic concepts have grown and flourished based on their cultures. However, in today's era of multicultural integration, the art concepts of the East and the West have mutual reference and influence. How to organically combine the two concepts, while retaining their respective essence and forming a new concept of art education, is a challenge and an opportunity that we are facing.

3 Challenges and opportunities in integration: building a global art education model

3.1 Promotion of globalization and cultural exchange

With the advent of the era of globalization, the distance between countries has been further shortened, making cultural exchanges increasingly frequent. Art, as an important means of expressing emotions, ideas, and culture, has gradually crossed national boundaries and become a common global language of art. Artists have began to widely absorb the essence of other cultures on a large scale, making their works not only maintain their local charm, but also reveal a strong international flavor.

This global cultural integration not only provides broader creative space to artists, but also has a profound effect on art education. Educators have begun to re-examine and adjust teaching methods and content, attempting to integrate the aesthetic essence of different cultures into the curriculum, in order to cultivate students' international perspective and cross-cultural communication skills. However, this also poses challenges in balancing local culture and international requirements. Nevertheless, such integration undoubtedly injects new vitality into art education and provides the possibility of cultivating artists with a global perspective.

3.2 Challenges: the collision between cultural identity and values

The first challenge faced by global art education is the issue of cultural identity. How to maintain one's own cultural characteristics and values while absorbing foreign cultures is a problem that every country and region needs to face. For educators, how to balance Eastern and Western educational methods to ensure that students do not lose their recognition of local culture while accepting foreign cultures is a huge challenge.

3.3 Opportunities: innovation and cross-cultural cooperation

Globalization has brought unprecedented opportunities for art education. Firstly, educators can learn from the successful experiences of other countries and innovate and improve local education models. Secondly, globalization provides more opportunities for cooperation and exchange between students and educators. Students can study and exchange in other countries to gain new knowledge and experience. Educators can also collaborate with international peers to jointly promote the development of art education.

3.4 Path to building a global art education model

In order to address the challenges and seize the opportunities of globalization, we need to construct a global art education model. This model should not only focus on cultivating students' international perspective, but also maintain respect and inheritance of local culture. Specifically, the following aspects can be considered:

Cross cultural curriculum design: In the curriculum design, it includes both local art knowledge and skills, as well as the integration of foreign art ideas and techniques. In this way, students can not only understand their own culture but also broaden their international perspective during their studies.

Teacher training: Teachers are encouraged to go abroad for further study and exchange, acquiring new teaching methods and experiences. At the same time, excellent foreign educators can also be introduced to bring new teaching styles and ways of thinking to students.

Student exchange program: Establish student exchange programs with other countries and regions, provide students with the opportunity to study and practice abroad, broaden their horizons, and increase international experience.

4 Conclusion

Globalization has brought countless opportunities and challenges to art education. To develop in this context, we cannot only remain at the level of understanding differences, but also need to actively seek ways of integration. By deeply understanding their respective educational advantages and characteristics, a more open, inclusive, and creative global art education system can be built.

Conflicts of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Sun Z. 2019. Research on world art education under globalization. Art Literature, 4:79-80.
- [2] Hu XY. 2022. Summary of research on differences in design education practice between China and the West. *Design Art Research*, 12(03):124-128.
- [3] Zhang MM. 2023. Looking at education globalization from the historical evolution of students studying in the United States. *Western Academic Journal*, 20:127-130.