

# Study of the Current Status and Improvement of College Students' Comprehensive Quality from the Perspective of Environmental Aesthetic Education

Qianwen Guo

Jinzhong College of Information, Shanxi Jinzhong 030600

---

**Abstract:** The aim of this paper is to assess the current status of comprehensive quality among college students and to explore effective ways to enhance these qualities through environmental aesthetic education. By examining existing educational practices and their outcomes, this study proposes strategic integration of aesthetic environmental elements into educational settings. It seeks to demonstrate how such integration can contribute to the overall enhancement of students' abilities to engage thoughtfully and innovatively with their world. The ultimate goal is to provide actionable recommendations that can be implemented in higher education institutions to cultivate a more aesthetically aware and environmentally responsive student body.

**Keywords:** college students, comprehensive quality, aesthetic education, environmental

---

## Introduction

Environmental aesthetic education, a burgeoning field within aesthetic philosophy and educational theory, emphasizes the integration of aesthetic appreciation and environmental consciousness into educational curricula. It encourages students to perceive and appreciate the beauty in natural and built environments, fostering a deeper environmental sensitivity.<sup>[2]</sup> This approach is particularly relevant in higher education, where the development of a holistic perspective can significantly enhance the cognitive and emotional capacities of students. Environmental aesthetics, as part of a well-rounded educational framework, can stimulate critical thinking, creativity, and an ethical commitment towards the environment, which are essential qualities in today's globally conscious citizen<sup>[3]</sup>.

The importance of integrating environmental aesthetics into higher education cannot be overstated. It equips students with the ability to critically evaluate and derive meaning from their surroundings, promoting a sustainable and mindful interaction with their environment<sup>[17]</sup>. This capability is crucial for developing a comprehensive set of skills and qualities in students, which include cognitive flexibility, emotional depth, and an active engagement with societal and environmental challenges.

## 1. Literature review

---

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Frontier Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

---

Environmental aesthetics has increasingly been recognized as an influential factor in educational settings, with a growing body of literature supporting its integral role in enhancing learning experiences and outcomes. Studies such as those by Carlson (2000) have articulated the value of environmental aesthetics in cultivating a deeper appreciation and understanding of both natural and constructed environments, highlighting its potential to enrich student engagement and learning processes. Moreover, Berleant (1992) emphasizes that an aesthetic engagement with the environment promotes a sensory and emotional connection, which is crucial for developing a comprehensive educational experience.

The impact of environmental aesthetics on education is multifaceted. Dewey (1934) argued that an aesthetically pleasing environment could significantly affect the motivation and attention of students, suggesting that aesthetic considerations should be integral to educational design.<sup>[5]</sup> Recent studies by Kellert (2005) have further explored this idea, demonstrating that classrooms designed with elements of nature and aesthetic considerations promote better learning outcomes, including improved concentration and higher satisfaction and achievement levels among students.<sup>[11]</sup>

In terms of comprehensive quality development, theories such as Gardner's (1983) Multiple Intelligences theory have been instrumental in broadening the understanding of educational needs.<sup>[7]</sup> Gardner proposes that educational environments should cater to various types of intelligences, including spatial, linguistic, logical-mathematical, and naturalistic, among others. Environmental aesthetics can significantly contribute to this by providing a diverse range of sensory stimuli that support different learning styles and intelligences.

Furthermore, the concept of emotional intelligence as discussed by Goleman (1995) is crucial in understanding the comprehensive quality development of students. Goleman highlights the importance of emotional intelligence in achieving success and well-being, suggesting that educational environments that promote emotional development through aesthetic experiences can enhance students' overall academic and personal development.<sup>[8]</sup>

Despite these theoretical advances and empirical support, significant gaps remain in current educational practices. One major issue is the underutilization of environmental aesthetics in curriculum design. Most educational institutions still focus predominantly on utilitarian and functional aspects of education, largely neglecting the aesthetic and emotional development that is essential for comprehensive student development<sup>[17]</sup>. Additionally, there is a lack of interdisciplinary approaches in educational settings that integrate aesthetic education with scientific and technological learning, which could otherwise foster a more holistic development of students<sup>[13]</sup>.

Moreover, while the benefits of environmental aesthetics are increasingly acknowledged, practical applications in educational settings are often limited by budget constraints, lack of awareness among educators, and insufficient empirical research on specific design strategies that effectively integrate aesthetic elements<sup>[2]</sup>. This creates the disconnect between theoretical benefits and practical implementation, limiting the potential impact of environmental aesthetics on student learning and development.

In summary, while the literature highlights the significant potential of environmental aesthetics in enhancing the comprehensive quality of education, it also underscores the need for more integrated, practical applications within educational settings. Addressing these gaps requires concerted efforts from educational policymakers, designers, and educators to embrace and implement aesthetic considerations more broadly within the educational landscape.

## **2. Research methodology**

This study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the impact of environmental aesthetic education on the comprehensive quality of college students. The qualitative approach is suited to this investigation as it allows for an in-depth exploration of perceptions, experiences, and contextual factors that quantitative methods might overlook<sup>[4]</sup>. This

research aims to understand the nuanced ways in which aesthetic elements in educational environments influence students' cognitive, emotional, and social development.

## **2.1 Data collection methods**

The primary data for this study will be collected through three main methods: semi-structured interviews, focus groups, and observational studies. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with students, faculty, and administrators to gather individual perspectives on the existing aesthetic qualities of educational environments and their perceived impact on learning and personal development. These interviews will allow participants to discuss their experiences and opinions in detail, providing rich qualitative data.

Focus groups will be employed to explore collective views and generate discussions among students about the role of environmental aesthetics in their education and comprehensive quality development. These sessions will help identify common themes and diverse opinions within the student body, enriching the data obtained from individual interviews.

Observational studies will be conducted in various educational settings, such as classrooms, libraries, and common areas, to examine the integration of aesthetic elements and their usage in real-time. Observations will focus on student interactions with these environments and the visible impact on their behavior and engagement.

## **2.2 Tools and criteria for evaluation**

To evaluate the comprehensive quality of students, the study will use a set of criteria based on Gardner's Multiple Intelligences theory (Gardner, 1983) and Goleman's framework of emotional intelligence (Goleman, 1995).<sup>[7][8]</sup> These criteria will assess cognitive aspects (like critical thinking and problem-solving), emotional aspects (such as empathy and self-regulation), and social interactions (teamwork and communication).

The data analysis will involve coding the collected data to identify common themes, patterns, and deviations. NVivo, a qualitative data analysis software, will be used to assist in the coding and thematic analysis of the data, ensuring a systematic approach to handling large volumes of qualitative data.

## **3. Current status of college students' comprehensive quality**

The comprehensive quality of college students encompasses a range of skills and attributes including creativity, critical thinking, and emotional intelligence. These qualities are essential for personal and professional success in the contemporary world. This section of the paper explores the current status of these qualities among college students and examines how environmental aesthetic elements within educational settings influence their development.

### **3.1 Creativity**

Creativity in higher education is often linked to the ability to generate novel and useful ideas across different contexts<sup>[15]</sup>. However, studies indicate that the current educational climate might not adequately support creativity due to rigid curricula and lack of stimulating environments<sup>[16]</sup>. In a study examining environmental factors that influence creativity, Dul and Ceylan (2011) found that physical surroundings significantly impact students' creative output. Environments that are visually stimulating and provide diverse sensory experiences tend to enhance creativity. Incorporating natural elements, varied textures, and vibrant colors in educational settings can stimulate students' imaginations and foster a creative learning atmosphere.<sup>[6]</sup>

### **3.2 Critical thinking**

Critical thinking is another pivotal quality, involving the ability to analyze information, draw connections, and make

informed decisions. Halpern (2003) suggests that critical thinking skills are crucial for students' academic and life success.<sup>[9]</sup> Yet, recent assessments show that many students graduate without proficient critical thinking skills, which is a concern for educators and employers alike<sup>[1]</sup>. Environmental aesthetics can play a role in enhancing these skills by providing spaces that encourage reflection and dialogue. Aesthetic elements that promote a calm and comfortable atmosphere can reduce distractions and improve focus, thereby supporting deeper analytical thinking and discussion.

### **3.3 Emotional intelligence**

Emotional intelligence, defined as the ability to understand and manage one's own emotions and those of others, is increasingly recognized as fundamental for student development<sup>[8]</sup>. Research by Mayer, Roberts, and Barsade (2008) indicates that emotional intelligence is linked to better relationship management and academic performance. Educational environments that promote a sense of well-being and inclusion through thoughtful aesthetic design can enhance emotional intelligence.<sup>[12]</sup> For instance, spaces that incorporate elements of nature, ample light, and ergonomic design can help reduce stress and promote a sense of well-being, thereby fostering an emotional climate conducive to learning and personal growth.

### **3.4 Influence of environmental aesthetic elements**

The role of environmental aesthetics in shaping these qualities cannot be understated. According to Kaplan and Kaplan (1989), environments that provide 'restorative experiences' such as those found in aesthetically pleasing settings can recharge cognitive batteries and enhance mental focus and problem-solving abilities.<sup>[10]</sup> For example, the University of Michigan's study on the impact of window views of nature on cognitive function supports the idea that exposure to natural environments leads to better attentional performance and recovery from mental fatigue<sup>[18]</sup>.

Furthermore, environments designed with aesthetic principles in mind can act as 'third teachers', where the layout, decor, and overall ambiance contribute significantly to learning outcomes. Such environments not only enhance aesthetic appreciation but also invite students to interact more deeply with the material and with each other, thus nurturing critical and creative thinking.

In conclusion, while some students exhibit strong creativity, critical thinking, and emotional intelligence, many educational environments do not fully support the development of these comprehensive qualities. There is a need for more conscious integration of environmental aesthetics in educational settings to nurture these essential skills. Future educational design must consider aesthetic elements not merely as decorative, but as integral components that contribute significantly to the cognitive, emotional, and social development of students.

## **4. Environmental aesthetic education: Case studies and examples**

The integration of environmental aesthetics into educational settings has demonstrated considerable benefits for enhancing comprehensive qualities in students, such as creativity, critical thinking, and emotional intelligence. This section presents case studies and examples from various institutions where environmental aesthetic principles have been successfully applied, and analyzes their impacts on student development.

### **4.1 Case study 1: The Green School, Bali**

The Green School in Bali, Indonesia, is renowned for its commitment to sustainability and aesthetic education through its bamboo architecture and open-air classrooms that blend seamlessly with the natural environment. The school's design is not only environmentally sustainable but also promotes an immersive learning experience that enhances students' creativity and emotional intelligence. A study observed that students at the Green School were more engaged, and reported higher

levels of well-being and creativity compared to peers in more traditional school settings. The naturalistic design encourages continuous interaction with the environment, fostering a deep connection with nature which translates into enhanced problem-solving skills and innovative thinking.

#### **4.2 Case study 2: Aalto University's Learning Hub**

Aalto University in Finland has integrated environmental aesthetics through the design of its learning hub, which features modern Scandinavian architecture with extensive use of natural materials and light. The hub includes creative spaces that are adaptable and aesthetically pleasing, promoting collaborative learning and interdisciplinary interaction. Research indicates that such environments significantly improve students' abilities to think critically and work collaboratively, key components of comprehensive quality development in higher education. The aesthetically appealing and flexible learning spaces are credited with improving student motivation and participation, leading to better academic outcomes.

#### **4.3 Case study 3: The Edge Effect Program in Australian Schools**

The Edge Effect program in Australia implements environmental aesthetics by incorporating art and nature into the curriculum to improve educational outcomes. Schools participating in the program use outdoor classrooms and integrate natural elements indoors to enhance the learning environment. Students in these schools have shown marked improvements in creativity, emotional intelligence, and environmental awareness. The program emphasizes the restorative effects of aesthetically pleasing environments on student cognition and emotion, contributing to a more holistic form of education that prepares students to face global challenges with innovative solutions.

#### **4.4 Analysis of impacts**

The examples above illustrate that when educational environments are designed with aesthetic and environmental considerations in mind, they can significantly enhance the comprehensive qualities of students. These settings not only support academic learning but also contribute to the development of key life skills, including emotional regulation, creative problem-solving, and collaborative work. The interaction with aesthetically enriched environments stimulates sensory experiences and emotional responses, which are crucial for the holistic development of students.

Furthermore, such environments foster a stronger connection with nature, which is increasingly recognized as vital for mental and emotional health. As Kellert (2005) argues, biophilic design in educational settings can reduce stress, enhance learning, and improve emotional outcomes, thereby supporting comprehensive educational goals.<sup>[11]</sup>

The successful integration of environmental aesthetics into these educational settings demonstrates that aesthetic education is not merely an enrichment tool but a fundamental component of fostering comprehensive qualities in students. By creating spaces that are not only functional but also inspiring, educational institutions can cultivate environments that nurture the intellectual, emotional, and social capacities of students.

### **5. Strategies for enhancement**

Incorporating environmental aesthetics into higher education curricula and campus design can significantly enhance comprehensive quality development in students. This section suggests practical strategies for implementing these changes, focusing on curriculum integration, campus design, and innovative teaching methods.

#### **5.1 Curriculum integration**

To integrate environmental aesthetics into curricula, higher education institutions should include courses that

emphasize the importance of aesthetic experiences in personal and professional life. These could include interdisciplinary courses that blend environmental science with art and design, encouraging students to explore the aesthetic dimensions of sustainability<sup>[14]</sup>. Additionally, embedding aesthetic considerations in existing courses can help students appreciate the role of aesthetics in different fields, from engineering to humanities.

## 5.2 Campus design

Redesigning campus spaces to incorporate natural elements and aesthetic principles can profoundly impact student learning and well-being. This can be achieved by enhancing green spaces, such as creating more accessible parks and gardens, and integrating art installations across the campus to inspire creativity and reflection<sup>[11]</sup>. Building design should prioritize natural light, use of natural materials, and indoor plants to create an inviting and stimulating learning environment.

## 5.3 Innovative teaching methods

Teaching methods should also adapt to emphasize experiential learning, where students engage directly with their environments. This could include project-based learning that utilizes the campus as a living lab, allowing students to work on real-world problems within aesthetically designed settings. Outdoor classes and field trips should be regular features, enabling students to experience and reflect on the aesthetic dimensions of different environments directly.

## 6. Conclusion

This study underscores the significant potential of environmental aesthetic education in enhancing the comprehensive qualities of college students, including creativity, critical thinking, and emotional intelligence. The integration of aesthetic elements into educational settings and curricula has been shown to positively impact student engagement and learning outcomes. However, further research and implementation of the recommended strategies are essential to fully realize these benefits. Educational institutions are encouraged to adopt these approaches to cultivate a more holistically developed student body<sup>[11]</sup>.

## Conflicts of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- [1] Arum, R., Roksa, J. *Academically Adrift: Limited Learning on College Campuses*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2011.
- [2] Berleant, A. *The Aesthetics of Environment*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press; 1992
- [3] Carlson, A. *Aesthetics and the Environment: The Appreciation of Nature, Art and Architecture*. Routledge; 2000.
- [4] Creswell, J. W. *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*. Sage; 2013.
- [5] Dewey, J. *Art as Experience*. Minton, Balch & Company; 1934.
- [6] Dul, J., Ceylan, C. Work environments for employee creativity. *Ergonomics*. 2011; 54(1): 12-20.
- [7] Gardner, H. *Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences*. Basic Books; 1983.
- [8] Goleman, D. *Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ*. Bantam Books; 1995.
- [9] Halpern, D. F. Thought and knowledge: An introduction to critical thinking. *Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates*; 2003.
- [10] Kaplan, S., Kaplan, R. *The Experience of Nature: A Psychological Perspective*. Cambridge University Press;

1989.

- [11] Kellert, S. R. *Building for Life: Designing and Understanding the Human-Nature Connection*. Island Press; 2005.
- [12] Mayer, J. D., Roberts, R. D., Barsade, S. G. Human abilities: Emotional intelligence. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2008; 59: 507-536.
- [13] Nussbaum, M. C. *Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities*. Princeton University Press; 2010.
- [14] Orr, D. W. *The Nature of Design: Ecology, Culture, and Human Intention*. Oxford University Press; 2002.
- [15] Runco, M. A. *Creativity*. Annual Review of Psychology. 2004; 55: 657-687.
- [16] Starko, A. J. *Creativity in the Classroom: Schools of Curious Delight*. Routledge; 2010.
- [17] Saito, Y. *Everyday Aesthetics*. Oxford University Press; 2007.
- [18] Tennessen, C. M., Cimprich, B. Views to nature: Effects on attention. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 1995; 15(1): 77-85.