



# Research on the Governance Structure and Sustainable Development Mechanism of Industry-Education Integration Communities in the Biopharmaceutical Industry

Liang Chen, Ye Li, Shuguang Li, Qingshan Liu, Linan Xing, Hong Wang

College of Bioengineering, Beijing Polytechnic, Beijing 100176, China

DOI: 10.32629/jher.v5i5.2960

---

**Abstract:** In the context of rapid global economic transformation and technological advancements, the biopharmaceutical industry has seen an increasing demand for high-quality applied talent. However, traditional vocational education models have struggled to keep pace with the fast-evolving requirements of the industry. To address this challenge, this paper proposes a governance structure and sustainable development mechanism tailored to the industry-education integration community in the biopharmaceutical sector. Through the design of a multi-tier governance structure — including the strategic decision-making, operational management, and implementation layers — this study explores how to improve management efficiency and optimize resource allocation within such communities. By analyzing specific case studies in the biopharmaceutical field, this paper evaluates the role of industry-education integration in fostering technological innovation and talent development. The research shows that a scientifically sound governance structure and a well-designed sustainable development mechanism are critical to the success of industry-education integration communities. These mechanisms not only enhance the community's capacity for innovation but also promote the deep integration of education and industry, providing essential support for the long-term development of the biopharmaceutical sector. This paper offers a theoretical foundation for future research on industry-education integration while providing practical guidelines for industry implementation.

**Keywords:** biopharmaceutical industry, industry-education integration community, governance structure, sustainable development

---

## 1. Introduction

In the context of rapid global economic transformation and technological advancements, the biopharmaceutical industry has emerged as one of the key sectors driving socio-economic development. This industry has had a profound impact on public health, particularly in areas such as new drug development and vaccine production. At the same time, it has heightened the demand for high-quality applied talent[1]. However, traditional vocational education models have gradually shown limitations in meeting the fast-changing needs of the biopharmaceutical industry. These conventional models often overemphasize skill refinement and practical knowledge while neglecting the cultivation of innovation and hands-on capabilities, resulting in a "ceiling" effect for vocational education at the associate degree level. This disconnect leads to graduates struggling to quickly adapt to the biopharmaceutical industry's high standards and complexity[2].

As key technologies in the biopharmaceutical industry continue to advance rapidly, this gap between education and industry has made it difficult for companies to find talent that meets real-world demands, thereby hindering the industry's ability to maintain continuous innovation and enhance competitiveness[3].

To address this issue, the industry-education integration model has emerged as a key strategy for talent cultivation in the biopharmaceutical sector. As an innovative approach that deeply integrates education with industry, this model aims to create platforms for collaboration between educational institutions, research organizations, industry associations, and biopharmaceutical companies[4]. By engaging students in real production and research activities during their time at school, this model significantly enhances their practical abilities, innovation skills, and employability[5].

This approach not only bridges the gap between education and industry needs but also fosters close collaboration among stakeholders in areas such as curriculum development, technical research, and talent cultivation[6]. Through industry-education integration, the involved parties can share resources and complement each other's strengths, driving the cultivation of highly skilled technical talent to meet the diverse needs of the biopharmaceutical industry[7].

This paper aims to propose and construct a governance structure and sustainable development mechanism tailored to the specific needs of the biopharmaceutical industry. By designing a systematic hierarchy and coordination mechanism, this study seeks to explore how to enhance the management efficiency of industry-education integration communities in

the biopharmaceutical sector, optimize resource allocation, and ensure that these communities maintain their capacity for innovation and competitiveness in a rapidly changing market environment[8]. This research not only provides a scientific basis for the establishment of theoretical models but also offers practical guidance for industry implementation, aiming to promote long-term development and continuous innovation in the biopharmaceutical industry[9].

## 2. Theoretical Model Construction

The theoretical model of the industry-education integration community governance proposed in this study is based on governance structure theory, stakeholder theory, and resource dependence theory. It aims to provide a systematic governance framework for the biopharmaceutical industry. This model is primarily divided into three levels: the strategic decision-making layer, the operational management layer, and the execution implementation layer. Each level is designed to ensure the stable operation and long-term development of the community.

Under the guidance of governance structure theory, the model adopts a clear hierarchical division of responsibilities to ensure that roles and authorities within the community are reasonably allocated. The strategic decision-making layer is responsible for determining the overall direction of development, the operational management layer translates the strategy into specific action plans, and the execution implementation layer is tasked with the actual execution of these plans. The coordination and feedback mechanisms between the levels are the core of the model, ensuring the community can adapt flexibly to the rapid changes in the biopharmaceutical industry.

Stakeholder theory emphasizes the interaction and cooperation among all parties involved in the community. Key stakeholders in the biopharmaceutical industry, including educational institutions, enterprises, and government bodies, play critical roles in the model. The design of the model pays special attention to coordination mechanisms between these stakeholders, aiming to enhance transparency in decision-making and efficiency in execution. By fostering broad participation and collaboration, stakeholders work together to optimize resource allocation and ensure the efficient translation of innovative outcomes.

Resource dependence theory further underscores the necessity for the community to acquire and manage external resources. In the biopharmaceutical industry, resource sharing and innovation-driven mechanisms are crucial to the community's sustainable development. By integrating educational resources, industrial resources, and policy support, the model not only enhances the community's adaptability but also strengthens its competitiveness in the market. Efficient utilization of resources and continuous promotion of innovation enable the community to achieve steady growth in a dynamic industry environment.

Overall, the governance model proposed in this study provides a solid theoretical foundation for industry-education integration in the biopharmaceutical industry and holds broad potential for application. The model is not only responsive to industry demands but also offers a systematic solution for the sustainable development of industry-education integration communities.

## 3. Case Study

This study selects a representative case of an industry-education integration community in the biopharmaceutical industry to explore the cooperation model and its practical outcomes. The case involves deep collaboration between a biopharmaceutical company and a vocational college, demonstrating how a company-led governance structure can effectively bridge the gap between technical education and market demand, highlighting the role of educational institutions in promoting the transformation of research results and the cultivation of technical skills talent. The research methods used include literature review, in-depth interviews, and field investigations, ensuring the scientific rigor and representativeness of the case analysis.

The case demonstrates a company-led industry-education integration community model. First, the project innovatively developed the "Vocational Warehouse" theory, whereby the community collaboratively constructed a job classification and talent demand matrix for the biopharmaceutical industry, precisely cultivating professionals tailored to the industry's needs. This cooperative model has made significant progress in promoting technological innovation and market application, earning high recognition within the industry.

Second, the community broke down barriers between vocational and general education, constructing a systematic talent cultivation system spanning from secondary vocational education, higher vocational (associate degree) education, vocational bachelor's education, to specialized master's and doctoral programs. This system achieved remarkable results in both technological innovation and talent development.

Third, the biopharmaceutical companies provided advanced technical equipment and production platforms, establishing

a close partnership with the vocational college. Industry experts directly participated in teaching, research, and technical guidance, ensuring that students were exposed to the latest industry trends and technologies. This model greatly improved students' practical skills and employability, ensuring that the curriculum stayed in line with market demand.

Fourth, the collaboration between research institutions and vocational colleges, through the establishment of joint laboratories and the conduction of cutting-edge technology research, allowed research findings to be directly applied in production practice. Students not only received practical training but also participated in the process of transforming research outcomes.

However, while the company-led governance structure strengthened the integration between industry and education, it also limited the educational institution's autonomy to some extent, potentially skewing resource allocation towards short-term economic benefits at the expense of long-term academic development. The project still faces challenges in resource allocation and cross-institutional coordination, particularly in the areas of intellectual property distribution and commercialization. Moreover, maintaining innovation-driven initiatives and the flexibility of the governance structure in the face of rapid technological change remains critical.

## **4. Governance Structure of the Industry-Education Integration Community**

In the biopharmaceutical industry, the efficient operation and sustainable development of an industry-education integration community rely on a scientifically sound governance structure. This structure, through clear hierarchical divisions and coordination mechanisms, ensures that resources and actions among educational institutions, enterprises, and government agencies are effectively integrated to achieve the strategic objectives of the community. This section explores the multi-level governance structure of the industry-education integration community in the biopharmaceutical sector, including the strategic decision-making layer, operational management layer, and execution implementation layer.

### **4.1 Role and Function of the Strategic Decision-Making Layer**

The strategic decision-making layer serves as the highest decision-making body of the community, comprising senior management from educational institutions, executives from biopharmaceutical companies, and government representatives. It is responsible for setting the overall development direction and long-term planning of the community. Through regular strategic collaboration meetings, the strategic decision-making layer reviews and determines the community's development goals and coordinates resources across various sectors, ensuring that the community stays aligned with the latest technological advancements and market demands in the biopharmaceutical industry. This layer not only focuses on technological innovation and market dynamics but also responds to changes in the policy environment to maintain the community's competitive edge. Effective integration of industry-education collaboration and industry needs is critical to its role.

### **4.2 Planning and Execution by the Operational Management Layer**

The operational management layer acts as the bridge between the strategic decision-making layer and the execution implementation layer, tasked with translating strategic decisions into actionable operational plans and overseeing their implementation. Comprising mid-level managers, project teams, or expert committees, the operational management layer is responsible for resource allocation, project management, and performance evaluation. Its duties include refining strategic plans into executable programs and making flexible adjustments based on real-time situations. The operational management layer utilizes a strict performance feedback mechanism to monitor and adjust the implementation of plans, ensuring the community remains flexible and adaptive in a dynamic environment, thereby directly influencing the day-to-day operations and achievement of community goals.

### **4.3 Practice and Feedback from the Execution Implementation Layer**

The execution implementation layer represents the core of the industry-education integration community's operational force. It consists of teachers, students, and technical personnel from enterprises, and is responsible for translating strategic and operational plans into tangible outcomes in education, research, and production. In the biopharmaceutical industry, the execution implementation layer not only undertakes educational and research tasks but also facilitates the application and commercialization of technological outcomes. Through hands-on experimentation and validation, this layer provides real-time feedback to the operational management layer, helping adjust plans and improving the community's overall effectiveness and adaptability. This feedback mechanism enables the community to better respond to changes in the industry, enhancing the integration of education and industry.

Through the coordinated operation of the strategic decision-making, operational management, and execution implementation layers, the industry-education integration community in the biopharmaceutical sector can effectively

integrate and utilize resources, promote the deep integration of education and industry, and maintain efficient operations in a complex industry environment. This approach continuously enhances the community's competitiveness and capacity for innovation.

## **5. Sustainable Development Mechanism of the Industry-Education Integration Community**

In the biopharmaceutical industry, establishing a sustainable and competitive industry-education integration community requires an effective sustainable development mechanism. This mechanism should encompass resource management and optimization, innovation-driven outcomes, dynamic adaptability, and policy support with legal protections. Through these measures, the community can meet industry needs, while simultaneously promoting technological innovation and talent cultivation.

### **5.1 Strategies for Resource Management and Optimization**

The rapid development of the biopharmaceutical industry places higher demands on resource management and optimization. The resources within an industry-education integration community include funding, equipment, technology, talent, and information. The community needs to establish a transparent and efficient resource management system to ensure that resources are distributed rationally and utilized to their fullest potential. For instance, through a resource-sharing mechanism, educational institutions and enterprises can complement each other's strengths: companies provide the latest equipment and technical support, while educational institutions contribute high-quality talent and research outcomes. This sharing mechanism not only enhances the efficiency of resource utilization but also fosters deep integration between education and industry, thereby enhancing the overall competitiveness of the sector.

### **5.2 Mechanism for Innovation-Driven Development and Outcome Transformation**

Innovation is the core driving force in the biopharmaceutical industry and a critical factor in the sustainable development of an industry-education integration community. To stimulate innovation, the community should establish mechanisms that support continuous technological research and the transformation of outcomes. These could include setting up innovation laboratories and technology incubators, encouraging the active participation of teachers, students, and enterprise technicians in cutting-edge research. Additionally, aligning closely with industry needs, a mechanism for outcome transformation should be built to quickly commercialize research findings and bring them to market. This approach not only generates economic benefits for enterprises but also provides valuable educational resources for institutions, facilitating technological progress and market expansion in the biopharmaceutical industry.

### **5.3 Design and Implementation of Dynamic Adaptability Mechanism**

The biopharmaceutical industry is characterized by rapid technological advancements and frequent market changes, necessitating a high degree of dynamic adaptability within industry-education integration communities. To address these changes, the community should implement a dynamic adaptability mechanism that includes regular evaluation and feedback systems. These systems continuously monitor market demands, technological developments, and policy shifts, adjusting strategic directions and resource allocation based on evaluation results. A flexible governance structure and open decision-making process are essential foundations for dynamic adaptability, ensuring that the community can swiftly respond to changes and maintain its leadership position amid new challenges and opportunities.

### **5.4 The Role of Policy Support and Legal Protections**

Policy support and legal protections play a crucial role in the sustainable development of an industry-education integration community in the biopharmaceutical sector. Government policies that provide funding, technical support, and institutional backing—such as setting up special funds, offering tax incentives, and granting technological subsidies—help reduce the costs of enterprise participation in industry-education integration. Additionally, clear legal protections, particularly concerning intellectual property rights, ensure that the community's innovations and outcomes are legally safeguarded. This protection fosters cooperation between enterprises and educational institutions, motivating active participation and providing a solid external environment for the long-term stable development of the community.

By combining resource management and optimization, innovation-driven development, dynamic adaptability, and policy support with legal protections, an industry-education integration community in the biopharmaceutical industry can establish a robust sustainable development mechanism. This mechanism not only ensures the community's competitiveness in the current market environment but also equips it with the capacity for continuous innovation and development in the face of future industry changes.

## 6. Evaluation System of the Industry-Education Integration Community

In the biopharmaceutical industry, establishing a scientific evaluation system is crucial to ensuring the effectiveness and sustainable development of an industry-education integration community. This system primarily evaluates the community from two core perspectives: governance structure and sustainable development mechanisms, providing a comprehensive assessment of the community's performance and offering guidance for future optimization.

### 6.1 Evaluation of the Governance Structure

The governance structure is the foundation for the smooth operation of the community. Evaluating the effectiveness of the governance structure requires attention to the clarity of hierarchical divisions and the coordination between different levels. First, the effectiveness of the strategic decision-making layer should be assessed, including whether the long-term strategic goals align with industry demands, and the scientific, forward-looking, and adaptive nature of these strategies. Key indicators include the achievement rate of strategic objectives, timeliness of adjustments, and transparency in the decision-making process.

The operational management layer's execution capability is another crucial aspect. Its effectiveness in transforming strategies into actionable plans and supervising their implementation must be evaluated. Key assessment criteria include the rationality of resource allocation, project management efficiency, accuracy of performance evaluations, and responsiveness to feedback. Finally, the practical results of the execution implementation layer need to be examined, focusing on whether it effectively carries out the plans of the operational management layer, promotes technological innovation, facilitates the transformation of research outcomes, and enhances students' practical abilities as well as companies' production levels. Key indicators here include teaching quality, research output, conversion rates of technological achievements, and enterprise participation levels.

### 6.2 Evaluation of the Sustainable Development Mechanism

The sustainable development mechanism directly impacts the long-term stability and competitiveness of the community. The evaluation of this mechanism should cover dimensions such as resource management, innovation-driven development, dynamic adaptability, and policy support. First, resource management and optimization need to be evaluated, with a focus on the efficiency of resource allocation, the degree of resource sharing between educational institutions and enterprises, and the level of support for high-potential innovation projects. Evaluation indicators include resource utilization rates, the depth of resource sharing, and the degree of preferential resource allocation to high-potential projects.

In terms of innovation-driven development and outcome transformation, the community's performance in promoting technological innovation and efficiently commercializing research outcomes should be assessed. Specific indicators include the number of new technologies developed, the rate of transformation of research findings into marketable products, and the contribution of innovations to industry development. Dynamic adaptability assesses the community's responsiveness to market changes and technological advancements, as well as the flexibility of strategic adjustments. Evaluation indicators include the response time to market demand changes, the speed of technology introduction and application, and the community's competitiveness within industry trends.

Lastly, the impact of policy support and legal protections must be assessed, focusing on the role of government policies in promoting industry-education integration and the effectiveness of legal protections, particularly in intellectual property rights. Key indicators include the amount and scope of policy support, the efficiency of legal dispute resolution, and the enforcement of intellectual property protection.

By systematically evaluating both the governance structure and sustainable development mechanism, the biopharmaceutical industry-education integration community can gain a comprehensive understanding of its current operational status and areas for improvement, laying the foundation for continuous optimization and innovative development in the future.

## 7. Conclusion

This study explores the governance structure and sustainable development mechanisms of industry-education integration communities within the biopharmaceutical sector. The findings highlight that an effective multi-tier governance structure, innovation-driven mechanisms, and a flexible dynamic adaptability are critical to the success and sustainable development of these communities. These mechanisms not only enhance the operational efficiency and innovation capacity of the community but also promote the deep integration of education and industry, providing essential support for the long-term development of the biopharmaceutical industry. Future research should further investigate the practical applications of these mechanisms and strengthen the role of policy and legal support to ensure that the community maintains competitiveness and sustainability.

in an ever-changing industry environment.

## Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the following funding projects: 2024 Annual Project of the Industry-Education Integration Professional Committee of the China Education Development Strategy Society (Project No. CJRHZWH2024-80); 2022 Beijing Municipal Vocational Education Teaching Reform Project (Project No. FG2022005); the Beijing University Students' Employment and Entrepreneurship Guidance Center (Project No. JYCY202354).

## References

---

- [1] Li Sumin, Chen Huanhuan, Liu Dongdong. The Coupling Mechanism, Challenges, and Pathways of the Chinese Apprentice System and Practical Teaching System [J]. *Modern Education Management*, 2024, (03): 107-117.
- [2] Zhang Miao. Towards Innovation: The Essential Characteristics of Industry-Education Integration Communities from the Perspective of New Productive Forces [J]. *China Distance Education*, 2024, 44(08): 88-96. DOI:10.13541/j.cnki.chinade.2024.08.001.
- [3] Chen Jianlu. Promoting the Transformation of Industrial Resources and Deepening Industry-Education Integration and School-Enterprise Cooperation [J]. *Vocational Education Research*, 2024, (08): 1.
- [4] Liu Fengyue. Construction of Industry-Education Integration Communities: An Interpretive Framework under Project-Based Governance [J/OL]. *Journal of Hebei University (Philosophy and Social Science Edition)*, 1-17 [2024-08-31]. <http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/13.1027.C.20240806.1148.002.html>.
- [5] Nie Tian, Guo Rongkuan, Pan Dongling. Optimization Path of the Collaborative Innovation Talent Cultivation Model in Higher Vocational Colleges Based on Industry-Education Integration and School-Enterprise Cooperation [J]. *Xueyuan*, 2024, 17(19): 86-88.
- [6] Liu Xiangze, Xu Bing, Xu Jian. Construction of Industry-Education Integration Communities: Value Implications, Practical Challenges, and Promotion Strategies [J]. *Education and Vocation*, 2024, (13): 23-30.
- [7] Pan Yan. Optimization of the Construction Path of Industry-Education Integration Communities Based on the Adaptability of Vocational Education [J]. *Public Relations World*, 2024, (13): 157-159.
- [8] Li Yuanyuan. Construction of Industry-Education Integration Communities: Transitional Era, Key Challenges, and Development Directions [J]. *Journal of Nanning Vocational and Technical College*, 2024, 32(03): 37-42. DOI:10.19846/j.cnki.nzxb.202403006.
- [9] Zhou Yong, Zhou Hualin, Zhang Yan, et al. Construction and Practical Path of Local Universities' Industry-Education Integration Communities from the Perspective of Modern Industry [J]. *China Educational Technology Equipment*, 2024, (12): 138-140.

## Author Bio

Liang Chen (1986–), male, from Hanzhong, Shaanxi Province, holds a PhD in Engineering from Beijing University of Chemical Technology. He is currently a professor at Beijing Polytechnic, specializing in research on industry-education integration and school-enterprise cooperation in higher vocational institutions.